The Law School’s Next Century
The Dean’s Perspective

In this issue of the Law Alumni News, we feature the new Capital Campaign at the Law School—Campaign Minnesota: The Law School’s Next Century. As a law school, we have a responsibility to our students, alumni, the legal community, and the greater society to ensure that our law students receive the best available legal education and training. To remain an influential force in legal education for the new century, we have defined a vision that will enable this Law School to make a dramatic leap forward ensuring our continued leadership among the front-ranks of American law schools.

The complexities of 21st century law, society, and technology place unprecedented demands upon our graduates. They must be ready to solve problems confidently, ethically, and adroitly, applying not only theorems and abstract knowledge, but insights attained through skills training and real-life clinical experiences. With the success of Campaign Minnesota: The Law School’s Next Century, this Law School will be the first law school in the country to offer a fully integrated curriculum uniting theory and doctrine with skills and practice. We believe our Law School is uniquely positioned already so that the success of our Campaign will permit us to achieve this goal. We can be defined as an institution by our ability to do this better than any other law school. As Elliot Kaplan, Chair of the Capital Campaign, has remarked, “Our goal is to have a state-of-the-art law school with the capacity for delivering first-rate teaching through world-class resources and state-of-the-art technology.”

We want our students to be able to perceive and understand that the curriculum is and should be a seamless web from integrating theory, doctrine and skills into how law is actually practiced on behalf of clients. In order to make sure that our nationally-recognized faculty and our very talented students are performing at high levels, we have to have a physical space and environment that encourage the very best in our faculty and students. The Capital Campaign strategically connects these values and goals. Each of these elements is connected: physical surroundings that are conducive to new technology and new pedagogy, expanded clinical and lawyering skills programs, funds for expanding the library, technology, and faculty development.

One of the challenges in the Campaign is to inform our constituencies of the fact that the Law School today receives only approximately 15 percent of its total $20 million budget from state revenues. Approximately $3 million is received from the state of Minnesota, the balance in revenue comes from interest from endowments, private fund raising through the Partners in Excellence annual fund drive and the Capital Campaign, and tuition receipts. We receive the smallest percentage of state funds relative to the total budget of any academic unit on campus, and when compared with other law schools we certainly receive, as a percentage, one of the smallest portions from state funding. Clearly, without the generosity of alumni and friends of the Law School, the Law School would not be ranked regularly as it is today within the top twenty law schools within the United States.

As we enter the new century, the new building addition will breath exciting new life into the Law School complex. The building addition will provide a state-of-the-art complex that will enable us to attract the highest quality students. This is true particularly if we are able to compete more aggressively through financial aid and scholarships. Unquestionably our law library is a national treasure. It is ranked in the top five or six in the United States and serves more than 250,000 patrons per year. The rare book collection is world-renowned, next only to the Library of Congress, Harvard Law School, and the University of California, Berkeley. Our lawyering and clinical program is at the top of its field in the percentage of students graduating from law school with clinical experiences. Because technological breakthroughs occur with such speed, we must be able to respond with immediate technology advances, both for research and teaching. Innovative faculty teaching and research infuse the Law School’s curriculum with depth and freshness.

In order to recruit and retain a national faculty, we must continue to compete for their talents with the best law schools. In summary, this Capital Campaign is necessary to meet extraordinary challenges. A first-rate legal education in the 21st century requires dramatically more complex and extensive programming than even 20 years ago. The rapid transformation of American legal education presents an extraordinary challenge and opportunity for the Law School. With this Capital Campaign, we will move ahead of the curve with special strategic funding to advance us in the ranks of America’s best legal educational institutions.

Your support and advice continue to inspire us to be the best we can be. Your investment in the Capital Campaign will ensure the quality and reputation of your alma mater in the new century.

E. Thomas Sullivan
Dean and William S. Pattee Professor of Law
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Among the figurative bricks that pave the road of a productive life are the well-worn stones of education, burnished to a patina by the collective numbers and years. Memory preserves an institution, but real time requires maintenance and expansion, a balance of dedication and tradition, of bricks and mortar.

The Law School is now in the midst of a capital campaign with five targeted objectives and a goal of 30 million dollars. The objectives include 7-million dollar goals for both the building fund and scholarship endowment, and 5-million dollars each for the library, lawyering skills and clinic, and technology endowments.

Elliot Kaplan, (’61), of Robins, Kaplan, Miller and Ciresi and chair for the campaign, said, “We’re aiming to have a state-of-the-art law school with a capacity for higher technology. We also want to be able to address current issues, provide better facilities, and improve and expand our library to continue to keep it as one of the best in the country. Anything that can be done to enhance that image is good for all the graduates of the Law School…That’s why I’ve remained really active in Law School affairs and contribute to the Law School with both time and money.”

Catherine Ludden, (’79), a partner with Morgan, Lewis and Bockius in New York and a vice-chair for the capital campaign said that “as an alumna practicing outside of Minnesota, it’s important to me that the Law School is viewed as being strong and as one of the top law schools in the country. Anything that can be done to enhance that image is good for all the graduates of the Law School…That’s why I’ve remained really active in Law School affairs and contribute to the Law School with both time and money.”

Dean E. Thomas Sullivan spoke of the overarching theme of the campaign, citing the Law School’s ability to “really integrate a first-rate curriculum with the student’s perspective. To be able to do that we must be able to attract and retain a first-rate faculty. We have talented students, and together with the faculty they make the core of the Law School. In order to make sure that both are performing at a high level, we have to have a physical space and environment that encourages the best. The capital campaign really connects to these values and goals.”

Dean Sullivan touched on each of the campaign objectives, stressing the importance of expanding the curriculum to make sure students are well-educated and prepared to enter legal practice.

“Each of these elements is connected: physical surroundings that are conducive to new technology and the new pedagogy, expanded clinical and lawyering skills programs, funds for expanding the library and areas of technology. It’s a big undertaking but we’re doing very well.”

Sullivan did add, however, that one of the obstacles, historically speaking, to raising funds is the idea that the State Legislature takes care of the University. “Only 15 percent of our budget comes from the State,” Sullivan said. “That’s only 3 million out of a 20 million dollar budget.”

Collins Byrd, Director of Admissions at the Law School, spoke to the issue and importance of the scholarship endowment, both in terms of helping students offset the rising cost of a legal education, and in terms of attracting talented students to the school. “We are offering scholarships to people who are generally in the top 20 percent of all law school applicants, people who have done really well and are being accepted at the top law schools.”
Law schools are becoming much more competitive based on the reputation and quality of the entering class; they are a vital part of the picture. If we don’t have the resources to attract those people and support them through law school, we will not be able to retain our reputation.”

He added that law students are not allowed to work their first year, and while part-time work during second and third years is an option, income from that employment would not be enough to cover the cost of school. “I think it’s important, in terms of support to employ the cradle to grave concept, to support them through school, as they graduate and as they continue their careers after law school. Scholarships are a good way to do that. It’s a big part, a really big part.”

Phil Carruthers, (’79), is a State Representative from Brooklyn Center, and a co-chair for the Clinic endowment. He feels strongly that a clinical education is a very critical part of a legal education. “Other professions have done a better job than the legal profession of providing practical experience for their students. The law profession is getting much better, but we still have improvements that we have to make. Not all students, for example, are able to get into the clinical programs they want to take, so there’s a big demand by students, and we should make every effort to make it available.”

“Just as it was when I went to Law School, the library continues to be the heart of the scholarship of the whole legal education enterprise,” said William Lindberg, co-chair of the Library endowment fund and president of Ash Grove Group. Lindberg, who is former head of West Publishing’s Academic Program, further described the University of Minnesota Law Library as the epicenter of the school and community, saying, “Everyone from high school debate teams to large firms, when they need legal materials, will call the Minnesota Law Library.”

Lindberg spoke of the importance of continued support for the expansion and maintenance of the library, especially in view of its uniqueness as a rich resource both in terms of new technology and in its collection of rare books and documents. He said, “Because of the stewardship of many great law librarians, including Arthur Pulling and Bruno Greene, Kathy Price and currently Joan Howland, this library has a tremendous collection.”

“Even more than a sense of purpose or vocation, the information support function of the University of Minnesota Law Library sustains us in a society that’s presumably governed by a rule of law, and sort of a codified, widely disseminated body of law—being able to retrieve that information in a pertinent way, so that you can bring it to bear on a dispute and have some meaningful application of that law. Not every culture has had that sort of access, and we sometimes take it for granted.”

Lindberg said that Professor Steve Befort, Director of the Legal Clinics, is especially interested in making sure that we utilize technology not only for research but also for document management in the litigation process, and to work with other attorneys in facilitating that process.

Howland noted that the students entering the Law School are very technologically sophisticated and eager to learn more. “We also find every year that more of our students, in their decision to select a law school, are considering how technologically sophisticated that law school is as well as what’s offered in the curriculum having to do with the intersection of technology and the law.”

As director of the Law Library and the Technology/Computerization program, Professor Joan Howland is enthusiastic about the applications of the technology endowment.
Race, “Get Tough” Crime Politics, and the Transformation of the Juvenile Court

By Barry C. Feld, Centennial Professor of Law

In the past three decades, judicial decisions, legislative amendments, and administrative changes have transformed the juvenile court from a nominally rehabilitative social welfare agency into a scaled-down second-class criminal court for young offenders that provides them with neither therapy nor justice. In a recent book, Bad Kids: Race and the Transformation of the Juvenile Court, I attribute the transformation of the juvenile court to the role of race in American society and law and the great migration of African-Americans from the rural south to the urban north that began three-quarters of a century ago, the macro-structural transformation of American cities and the economy over the past quarter of a century, and the current linkages in the popular and political culture between race and serious youth crime. In Bad Kids, I argue that two competing cultural and legal conceptions of young people dominate the juvenile court sentencing policies.

The ambivalent and conflicted “jurisprudence of youth” enables policy makers selectively to manipulate the competing social constructs of innocence and responsibility to maximize the social control of young people. Over the past three decades, juvenile justice legal reforms have used these alternative constructs to conduct a version of “criminological triage.” At the “soft-end” of juvenile courts’ jurisdiction, states transfer increasing numbers of youths into the criminal justice system for prosecution as adults. For those delinquents who remain in an increasingly criminalized juvenile justice system, juvenile court sentencing policies and practices escalate the punishments they receive. In this article, I briefly summarize the transformation of the juvenile court from a social welfare agency into a deficient criminal court. I suggest the linkages between broader social structural and racial demographic changes and the increased punitiveness of juvenile justice policies.

The Juvenile Court

The juvenile court is the by-product of changes in two cultural ideas that accompanied modernization and industrialization a century ago: childhood and social control. Social structural changes associated with the shift from an agricultural to an urban industrial society, and the separation of work from the home produced a newer conception of children with new antecedent variables that caused crime and deviance and challenged the classic formulation of crime as the product of blameworthy, free-will choices. By attributing criminal behavior to external and deterministic forces, Progressive reformers reduced an actor’s moral responsibility for crime and focused on efforts to reform rather than to punish the offender. The juvenile court combined the new conception of children with new strategies of social control to produce a judicial-welfare alternative to criminal justice, to remove children from the adult process, to enforce the newer conception of children’s dependency, and to substitute the state as parens patriae.

Procedure and substance intertwined in the juvenile court. Procedurally, juvenile courts used informal processes, conducted confidential hearings, and employed a euphemistic vocabulary to obscure and disguise the reality of coercive social control. Substantively, juvenile courts used indeterminate, non-proportional dispositions, emphasized treatment rather than punishment, and focused on offenders’ future welfare rather than past offenses. Despite benevolent rhetoric, however, Progressive “child-savers” deliberately designed the juvenile court to discriminate against “Americanize” immigrants and the poor and to provide a coercive mechanism to distinguish between “our children” and “other people’s children.” In their pursuit of the “Rehabilitative Ideal,” Progressives situated the juvenile court on a number of cultural, legal, and criminological fault lines. They created several binary conceptions for the juvenile and criminal justice systems: either

child welfare laws. A more modern, scientific conception of social control embraced positivist criminology and medical analogies to treat offenders rather than to punish them for their offenses. Positivism attempted to identify the antecedent variables that caused crime and deviance and challenged the classic formulation of crime as the product of blameworthy, free-will choices. By attributing criminal behavior to external and deterministic forces, Progressive reformers reduced an actor’s moral responsibility for crime and focused on efforts to reform rather than to punish the offender. The juvenile court combined the new conception of children with new strategies of social control to produce a judicial-welfare alternative to criminal justice, to remove children from the adult process, to enforce the newer conception of children’s dependency, and to substitute the state as parens patriae.
The 1960s also witnessed the “baby boom” increases in youth crime that con-
tinued until the late-1970s. During the 1960s, the rise in youth crime and urban racial disorders provoked cries for “law and order” and provided the initial polit-
ical impetus to “get tough.” Republican politicians seized crime control and wel-
fare as racially-tinged wedge issues with which to distinguish themselves from Democrats in order to woo white southern voters, and crime policies for the first time became a central issue in partisan politics. Since the 1960s, as a result of

dues at trial onto the individualized treatment schema. Although the Court did not intend to alter juvenile courts’ therapeutic mission, in the aftermath of Gault, judicial, legislative, and administrative changes have fostered a procedural and substantive convergence with crim-

The juvenile court is the by-product of changes in two cultural ideas that accompanied modernization and industrialization a century ago: childhood and social control.

The 1960s witnessed a tectonic shift from the rural south to the urban north increased minority con-

centrations in urban ghettos, made race a national rather than a regional issue, and provided the impetus for the Civil Rights movement. World War II curtailed European immigration and created a demand for black southern laborers to work in northern industrial factories. During this same period, the mechaniza-
tion of cotton-picking decreased southern demand for black workers. Between 1910 and 1920, more than half-million blacks migrated to non-southern states, followed by more than three-quarters of a million in the 1920s. In the decade fol-

lowing the Depression and in response to industrial opportunities during World War II, black out-migration from the South exceeded one and half million. When blacks left the rural South, they moved primarily to cities. In the span of half a century, blacks shifted from about three-quarters living in rural environ-
ments to three-quarters residing in urban settings. In 1870, 80% of black Americans lived in rural south; by 1970, 80 percent of black Americans resided in urban locales, half in the North and West.

The juvenile court.11 On the other hand, even as juvenile courts have become more punitive, most states continue to
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deny juveniles access to jury trials and other procedural rights guaranteed to adults. Juvenile courts provide a procedural regime in which few adults charged with crimes and facing the prospect of confinement would consent to be tried.

Criminological Triage
Despite their procedural deficiencies, juvenile courts’ increased formality have provided the impetus to adopt substantive “criminological triage” policies. This process entails diverting non-criminal status offenders out of the juvenile system at the “soft” end, waiving serious offenders for adult criminal prosecution at the “hard” end, and punishing more severely the residual, middle-range of ordinary delinquent offenders.

Diverting Status Offenders
At the “soft” end, judicial and legislative disaffiliation with juvenile courts’ responses to noncriminal youths have led to diversion, deinstitutionalization, and decriminalization reforms. Deinstitutionalization reduced juvenile courts’ access to secure facilities for non-criminal offenders. It provided the impetus to transfer many white, female and middle-class youths whose juvenile courts formerly handled as status offenders to criminal offenders. It provided the impetus to transfer many white, female and middle-class youths whose juvenile courts formerly handled as status offenders to criminal offenders.

Waiving Serious Offenders
At the “hard” end, as a result of recent “get tough” laws, judges, prosecutors, and legislatures transferred increasing numbers of younger offenders to criminal courts for prosecution as adults. In an effort to “crack down” on youth crime, legislators exclude various combinations of age and offenses from juvenile courts’ jurisdiction, and then further expand the lists of excluded offenses and reduce the age of criminal responsibility.

The “get-tough” juvenile justice policies of the early-1990s reflect macrostructural, economic, and racial demographic changes in cities during the 1970s and 1980s, the emergence of the black underclass, and the epidemic of “crack” cocaine and the associated escalation in gun violence and youth homicides. Between World War II and the early-1970s, semi-skilled high school graduates could get good-paying union jobs in the automobile, steel, and construction industries. Beginning in the 1970s, the transition from an industrial to an information and service economy reduced employment opportunities in the manufacturing sector and produced a bifurcation of economic opportunities based on skills and education. During the post-World War II period, government, highway, housing, and mortgage policies encouraged suburban expansion around the urban centers. The migration of whites to the suburbs, the growth of information and service jobs in the suburbs, the bifurcation of the economy, and the de-industrialization of the urban core increased racial segregation and the concentration of poverty among blacks in the major cities.

In the mid-1980s, the emergence of a structural underclass, the introduction of “crack” cocaine into the inner cities, and the proliferation of guns among youth produced a sharp escalation in youth homicide rates.

The age-offense-race-specific increase in youth homicide provided further political impetus to “get tough” and to “crack down” on youth crime. Since the mid-1960s, police have arrested black juveniles under eighteen years of age for all violent offenses murder, rape, robbery, and assault at a rate about five times greater than that of white youths and for homicide at a rate more than seven times greater than white youths. Beginning in 1986, youth homicide rates began to escalate sharply—between 1986 and 1995, arrests of white juveniles for homicide increased about 40 percent, while those of black youths jumped by 278 percent. Significantly, guns were the proximate cause of the sharp escalation of youth homicide that began in the mid-1980s. Although the number of homicide deaths that juveniles caused by means other than firearms fluctuated within a “normal range;” between 1984 and 1994, the number of deaths caused by firearms increased 412 percent. Thus, in the span of a decade, arrests of adolescents for killing nearly tripled and the use of firearms by juveniles accounted for almost the entire increase in youth homicide. The intersections of race, guns, and homicide fanned the public “panic” and political “crack down” that, in turn led to the recent “get tough” reformulation of juvenile waiver policies. In this context, because of differences in rates of offending by race, “getting tough” on violence meant targeting young black men. The mass media depict and the public perceive the “crime problem” and juvenile courts’ clientele primarily as poor, urban black males. Politicians manipulate and exploit these racially-tinted perceptions for political advantage with demagogic pledges to “get tough” and “crack down” on youth crime which has become a “code word” for young black males.

Substantively, juvenile courts used indeterminate, non-proportional dispositions, emphasized treatment rather than punishment, and focused on offenders’ future welfare rather than past offenses.
the seriousness of the offense. These trends in waiver policy also reflect a fundamental cultural and legal reconciliation of youths from the series and dependent to responsible and autonomous. Politicians’ “sound-bites”—“adult crime, adult time” or “old enough to do the crime, old enough to do the time”—reflect typical criminal policies that provide no formal recognition of youthfulness as a mitigating factor in sentencing. Once youths make the transition to the adult system, criminal court judges sentence them as if they are adults, impose the same sentences, send them to the same prisons and even execute them for the crimes they committed as children.

Punishing Ordinary Delinquents

Finally, the “criminological triage” process has resulted in increased punishment of those ordinary delinquents who remain within the jurisdiction of juvenile justice system. Legislative preambles and court opinions explicitly endorse punishment as an appropriate component of juvenile sanctions. States’ juvenile sentencing laws increasingly emphasize responsibility and accountability, and provide for determinate and/or mandatory minimum sentences keyed to the seriousness of the offense. These statutory provisions use principles of proportionality and determinacy to rationalize sentencing decisions, to increase the penal bite of juvenile sanctions, and to allow legislators symbolically to demonstrate their toughness.

Two general conclusions emerge clearly from empirical research evaluating juvenile court judges’ sentencing practices. First, the “Principle of Offense”—present offense and prior record—accounts for most of the variance in juvenile court sentences that can be explained. Every methodologically rigorous study of juvenile court sentencing practices reports that judges focus primarily on the seriousness of the present offense and prior record when they sentence delinquents.

Secondly, after controlling for legal and offense variables, the individualized justice of juvenile courts produces racial disparities in the sentencing of minority offenders. In 1988, Congress amended the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (JJDP) Act to require states receiving federal funds to assure equal treatment on the basis, inter alia, of race, and to identify the sources of minority over-representation in detention facilities and institutions. In response to the JJDP Act mandate, a number of states found racial disparities in their juvenile justice systems and reported that cumulative decisions by court personnel amplify these racial disparities as youths move through the system. By 1991, juvenile courts confined less than one-third (31 percent) of non-Hispanic white juveniles in correctional facilities; minority youths comprised more than two-thirds (69 percent) of confined youths. Juvenile courts, as extensions of criminal courts, give primacy to offense factors when they sentence youths. To the extent that parens patriae ideology legitimates individualization and differential processing, it also exposes “disadvantaged” youths to the prospects of more extensive intervention. According to juvenile court’s treatment ideology, judges’ discretionary decisions avoid disproportionately affect minority youths because Progressives intended judges to focus on youths’ social circumstances rather than simply their offenses and designed them to discriminate between “our” children and “other people’s children.” In a society characterized by great inequality, those most “in need” are also most “at risk” for juvenile court intervention.

Significantly, guns were the proximate cause of the sharp escalation of youth homicide that began in the mid-1980s. Evaluations of juvenile “treatment” programs provide little evidence that training schools—the most common form of institutional treatment for the largest numbers of serious and chronic delinquents—effectively treat youths or reduce their recidivism rates. Despite these generally negative results, proponents of the traditional juvenile court continue their quest for the elusive “rehabilitative” goal and offer literature reviews, meta-analysis, or program descriptions that report that some interventions do produce positive effects on selected clients under certain conditions. Even though some programs apparently “do work” for some offenders under some circumstances and improve juveniles’ life-chances, most states do not provide these services to delinquents generally. Rather, they continue most delinquents in euphemistically sanitized youth prisons with fewer procedural safeguards than adults enjoy.

The Juvenile Court, Crime Control, and Child Welfare

Juvenile courts punish rather than treat young offenders, but use a procedural regime under which no adult would con-
Three aspects of youth crime and violence suggest future social welfare policy directions regardless of their immediate impact on recidivism. First, it is imperative to provide a hopeful future for all young people. As a result of structural and economic changes since the 1980s, the ability of families to raise children, to prepare them for the transition to adulthood, and to provide them with a more promising future has declined. Many social indicators of the status of young people—poverty, homelessness, violent victimization, and crime—are negative and some of those adverse trends are accelerating. Without realistic hope for their future, young people fall into despair, nihilism, and violence. Second, the disproportionate over-representation of minority youths in the juvenile justice system forces us to confront the issue of race in American society and makes imperative the pursuit of racial and social justice. The increasing and explicit punishment of juvenile justice policies emerge against the backdrop of the structural transformation of cities, the de-industrialization of the urban core, and the emergence of a threatening black urban “underclass” living in racial isolation and concentrated poverty. A generation ago, the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders, The Kerner Commission, warned that the United States was “moving toward two societies, one black, one white—separate and unequal”.

Today, we reap the bitter harvest of racial segregation, concentrated poverty, urban social disintegration, and youth violence sown by social policies and public neglect a generation ago. Third, youth violence has become increasingly lethal as the proliferation of handguns transforms adolescent altercations into homicidal encounters. Only public policies that reduce and reverse the proliferation of guns among the youth population will stem the carnage. While politicians may resist investing scarce social resources in young criminals, particularly those of other colors or cultures, a demographic shift and an aging population give all of us a stake in our young people and encourage us to invest in their human capital for their well-being as well as for our own, and to maintain an inter-generational compact.

Providing for child welfare represents a societal responsibility rather than a judicial one. In Bad Kids, I argue that states should uncouple social welfare from social control. On the one hand, I propose to abolish the juvenile court and to try all offenders in one integrated criminal justice system. In Bad Kids, I describe substantive and procedural modifications of criminal courts necessary to accommodate the youthfulness of some defendants. In particular, I offer a rationale to sentence younger offenders differently, and more leniently, than older defendants, a formal recognition of youthfulness as a mitigating factor. I argue that we honestly should acknowledge that juvenile courts currently engage in criminal social control, assert that younger offenders in a criminal justice system deserve less severe penalties for their misdemeanors than do more mature offenders simply because they are young, and address many problems created by trying to maintain binary, dichotomous, and contradictory criminal justice systems based on an arbitrary age classification of a youth as a child or as an adult.

Uncoupling social welfare from criminal social control also would enable public policies to address directly the “real needs” of all children regardless of their criminality. Social structural forces, political economic arrangements, and legal policies affect the social conditions of young people. A century ago, Progressive reformers had to choose between initiating social reforms that would ameliorate criminogenic forces or ministering to the individuals damaged by those adverse structural conditions. Driven by class and ethnic antagonisms, they ignored the social-structural implications of their delinquency theories and chose instead to “save children” in a juvenile court and, incidentally, to preserve their own power and privilege.

A century later, we confront the same choice between “rehabilitating” damaged individuals and initiating social structural changes. A society that cares for the welfare of its children does so directly by supporting families, communities, schools, and social institutions that nurture all young people, and not by cynically incarcerating its most disadvantaged children and pretending that it is “for their own good.” It is unrealistic to expect juvenile courts or any other justice institutions to accommodate the youthfulness of some defendants. In particular, I offer a rationale to sentence younger offenders differently, and more leniently, than older defendants, a formal recognition of youthfulness as a mitigating factor. I argue that we honestly should acknowledge that juvenile courts currently engage in criminal social control, assert that younger offenders in a criminal justice system deserve less severe penalties for their misdemeanors than do more mature offenders simply because they are young, and address many problems created by trying to maintain binary, dichotomous, and contradictory criminal justice systems based on an arbitrary age classification of a youth as a child or as an adult.

sent to be tried. The fundamental shortcoming of the juvenile court is a failure of conception and not simply a century-long failure of implementation. The juvenile court’s creators envisioned a social service agency in a judicial setting and attempted to fuse its welfare mission with the power of state coercion. Combining social welfare and penal social control functions in one agency assures that juvenile courts do both badly. Providing for child welfare represents a societal responsibility rather than a judicial one. A society that cares for the welfare of its children does so directly by supporting families, communities, schools, and social institutions that nurture all young people, and not by cynically incarcerating its most disadvantaged children and pretending that it is “for their own good.” It is unrealistic to expect juvenile courts or any other justice institutions to accommodate the youthfulness of some defendants. In particular, I offer a rationale to sentence younger offenders differently, and more leniently, than older defendants, a formal recognition of youthfulness as a mitigating factor. I argue that we honestly should acknowledge that juvenile courts currently engage in criminal social control, assert that younger offenders in a criminal justice system deserve less severe penalties for their misdemeanors than do more mature offenders simply because they are young, and address many problems created by trying to maintain binary, dichotomous, and contradictory criminal justice systems based on an arbitrary age classification of a youth as a child or as an adult.

Uncoupling social welfare from crime social control also would enable public policies to address directly the “real needs” of all children regardless of their criminality. Social structural forces, political economic arrangements, and legal policies affect the social conditions of young people. A century ago, Progressive reformers had to choose between initiating social reforms that would ameliorate criminogenic forces or ministering to the individuals damaged by those adverse structural conditions. Driven by class and ethnic antagonisms, they ignored the social-structural implications of their delinquency theories and chose instead to “save children” in a juvenile court and, incidentally, to preserve their own power and privilege.

A century later, we confront the same choice between “rehabilitating” damaged individuals and initiating social structural changes. A society that cares for the welfare of its children does so directly by supporting families, communities, schools, and social institutions that nurture all young people, and not by cynically incarcerating its most disadvantaged children and pretending that it is “for their own good.” It is unrealistic to expect juvenile courts or any other justice institutions to accommodate the youthfulness of some defendants. In particular, I offer a rationale to sentence younger offenders differently, and more leniently, than older defendants, a formal recognition of youthfulness as a mitigating factor. I argue that we honestly should acknowledge that juvenile courts currently engage in criminal social control, assert that younger offenders in a criminal justice system deserve less severe penalties for their misdemeanors than do more mature offenders simply because they are young, and address many problems created by trying to maintain binary, dichotomous, and contradictory criminal justice systems based on an arbitrary age classification of a youth as a child or as an adult.
End Notes


Faculty Research and Development

STEPHEN E. BEFORT published two law review articles relating to employment topics during the current school year. The first, entitled “Mental Illness and Long-Term Disability Plans Under the Americans with Disabilities Act,” was published in the fall of 1999 in the University of Pennsylvania Journal of Labor and Employment Law. The second article, co-authored with Christopher Kopka (’98), is entitled “The Sounds of Silence: The Libertarian Ethos of ERISA Preemption,” and was issued early in 2000 by the University of Florida Law Review. Professor Befort also prepared a 1999 Supplement to his Employment Law and Practice book for West Publishing. In December 1999, he presented a paper on “Ten Cutting-Edge Issues Under the Americans with Disabilities Act” at a conference sponsored by the Labor Arbitration Institute. The Institute included the paper in its published proceedings, Labor Law and Labor Arbitration 1999. Professor Befort, along with co-author Lydia Putthoff (’2L), contributed an article on “WABN Act Developments” for the fall 1999 edition of Labor and Employment Law News, a publication of the Minnesota State Bar Association.

ELIZABETH HEGE BOYLE researches international and comparative law from a sociological perspective. In “Is the Law the Rule? Using Political Frames to Explain Cross-National Variation in Legal Activity,” forthcoming in Social Forces, Professor Boyle uses statistics to assess how state centralization and other aspects of political structure influence the use of legal systems above and beyond the individual interests involved. In “Gesture Without Motion? Poetry and Politics in Africa,” forthcoming in Human Rights Review, she defends the South African Truth and Reconciliation Commission as a positive and concrete influence on the enforcement of human rights globally. Professor Boyle also presented “Modern Law as a Global Institutional Model” at a plenary panel on law and globalization at the annual meetings of the American Sociological Association in Chicago in August, 1999. The presentation included the preliminary results of her research which has been funded by the National Science Foundation: “The Adoption and Enforcement of Anti-Female-Genital-Cutting Laws.”

DAN L. BURK recently completed his term as chair of the Law & Computers Section of the American Association of Law Schools, by organizing a program on “Who Owns Data in the 21st Century?” for the national meeting in Washington, D.C. Over the past several months, Professor Burk has been active in speaking to professional organizations on issues of intellectual property. In October, he addressed the Twin Cities International Television and Video Association on the subject “Copyright in Film and Video” at their annual luncheon. In March, Professor Burk presented workshops on copyright and distance learning to the annual meeting of the New Jersey Intercampus Network, and to the Annual Meeting of the Council of Independent Colleges in Pittsburgh. In May, he spoke on “Beyond Domain Names: Trademarks and the Internet” at the 12th Annual Computer Law Conference sponsored by the University of Texas in Austin, and in April he addressed the national Meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science on “Publication and Control of Scientific Data.” In March, Professor Burk also presented his work in progress, “DNA Rules: Replacing Law with Biological Code” at a symposium sponsored by the University of Minnesota Center for Bioethics. During October, Professor Burk presented his paper on “The Trouble With Tripass” at Lewis & Clark College in Portland, Oregon; it will appear in that school’s Journal of Small and Emerging Business Law. In October he also presented his paper on “Virtual Exit in the Global Information Economy” now published in the Chicago-Kent Law Review. At the University of Minnesota’s Carlson Graduate School of Management Graduate Colloquium in Information and Decision Sciences. In June he presented his paper “Cyberlaw and the Norms of Science” at the Seventh Annual Conference on Technology and Ethics held at Boston College; the paper will appear in the Boston College Intellectual Property and Technology Forum.


Karen C. Burke
article, “Reassessing the Administration’s Proposals for Reform of Subchapter K,” has been accepted for publication in Tax Notes. She also worked on a co-authored article on regulation and administration of funded social security accounts under a partially privatized system; she article is expected to appear as part of a forthcoming symposium. During the spring, Professor Burke also continued her active involvement as a Consultant to the American Law Institute’s Federal Income Tax Project on Past-Through Entities. The conclusions of the ALI project were published as a Reporters’ Study in July 1999. During 1999, Professor Burke was appointed to the Dorsey & Whitney Professorship in Law. She delivered her inaugural lecture, “Retirement Security: Reform, Ideology and Administration,” in March 2000. During spring 1999, Professor Burke visited at Boston College Law School.


MARY LOUISE FELLOW’S was a panel participant in November 1999 at a conference entitled “Unbending Gender:
Why Family and Work Conflict and What to Do About It," which was sponsored by the Gender, Work, and Family Project of the Washington College of Law at American University. Her remarks will be published in a forthcoming issue of the American University Law Review. She also was invited to speak at the Annual Meeting of the Association of American Law Schools about the proposed Uniform Trust Code. She published "A Matter of Prostitution: Becoming Respectable" in the November 1999 issue of the New York University Law Review, which she co-authored with Professor Beverly Balos. Also forthcoming is a co-edited anthology, "Is Academic Feminism Dead? Theory in Practice," which will be published by NYU Press later this year. She continues her law reform work as a participant on the drafting committees of the Uniform Parentage Act and the Uniform Trust Code and as the Law School Teachers' Liaison to the Joint Editorial Board of the Uniform Probate and Trust Codes.

RICHARD S. FRASE delivered his reappointment lecture as the Benjamin N. Berger Professor of Criminal Law in September. The lecture was entitled "Sentencing Guidelines in Minnesota and Other Jurisdictions: A Twenty-Year Retrospective." In January, he presented an overview of state sentencing guidelines systems at a conference on state and federal guidelines, held at the Saint Louis University School of Law. In December, the Third Edition of his book, Minnesota Misdemeanors and Moving Traffic Violations, co-authored with Martin J. Costello & Stephen M. Simon, was published by Lexis Law Publishers.


DANIEL J. GIFFORD presented a paper at a Symposium on Regulatory Theory and Administrative Law at Florida State University in February. His paper was entitled "Why Does a Conservative Court Rule in Favor of a Liberal Government? The Cohen-Spitzer Analysis and the Constitutional Scheme." He is scheduled to speak on current antitrust developments at a Minnesota Institute of Legal Education program in April. His article with Professor David McGowan ("A Microsoft Dialogue") was recently published in the Antitrust Bulletin. He has just finished a manuscript on the sometimes problematic fit between fine art and the copyright law. He is completing a book manuscript on labor policy.

JOAN S. HOWLAND has been appointed Chair of the Association of American Law Schools Committee on Libraries and Technology. She also serves as a member of the AALS Advisory Group on Electronic Publishing in Legal Education. In January, Professor Howland served as a speaker on the American Association of Law Schools Annual Meeting program "Managing Change in Law Libraries." As Treasurer and an Executive Board Member of the American Indian Library Association, Professor Howland served as a delegate to the International Indigenous Librarians Forum hosted by the Maori tribes of New Zealand and held in Auckland. Professor Howland spoke on the topic "Legal, Economic, Social and Technological Challenges Facing American Indian Communities." Professor Howland will be the keynote speaker on a American Library Association 2000 Annual meeting program entitled "Opportunities and Challenges in a Multilingual Environment." She has recently had a book entitled "Ensuring Diversity: Retention and Promotion Strategies" co-authored with Florence Sunkins Brown, accepted for publication by the American Library Association. Professor Howland will speak at the American Association of Law Libraries 2000 Annual Meeting program "Gateway to Career Renewal: Paths for the Experienced Librarian." Professor Howland also recently completed an article entitled "Transforming Libraries to Meet the Challenges of the 21st Century."

SAMUEL KRISLOV published an article on "Technology Law and Politics: The Case of Product Standards" in Marcus and Samuels, The Fundamental Relationships Between Government and Property (1999). He completed two items for the forthcoming New Institutional Encyclopedia of the Social Sciences edited by Neil Smelser, on "Judicial Decision Making" and "Bill of Rights." In April 2000, he will be visiting Potsdam at the University of Sofia in Bulgaria and for the Academic year 2000–01 he will be a Distinguished Fulbright John Marshall Professor in Budapest, Hungary, sharing the chair with his wife, Judith Gillespie of SUNY (Albany).

MAURY LANDSMAN is consulting with the Office of Administrative Hearings on judicial ethics and skills. He is also consulting with Minnesota Continuing Legal Education (CLE) on curriculum issues in courses on ethics and elimination of bias in the profession. He has submitted a draft of materials for inclusion in a American Bar Association handbook on ethics for small firms, expected to be published this year. Professor Landsman was a panelist on the topic of "Interviewing: Is There More to Good Interviewing than Just Asking a List of Questions?" for the Midwestern Intergovernmental Audit Forum: Government Auditors Preparing for the Next Millennium in
reflecting on the Passover law of
Currently in press is an article that,
totype of a constitutional monarchy.
the standard Israelite (and ancient Near
the authors of Deuteronomy, rejecting
"Deuteronomy's Subordination of the
Professor Levinson is also completing
"Imagining Sinai: Revelation
ings," and the initial chapter of a textbook
"Trends in Legislative Regulation of
Professor Levy served as General Editor.
"The Doctrine of Piercing the Veil
JOHN H. MATHESON published an
article,” “The Doctrine of Piercing the Veil
in an Era of Multiple Limited Liability
Entities: An Opportunity to Codify the
Test For Waiving Owners' Limited Liability Protection,” for Minnesota Law Review (with Raymond B. Elty). He was also published annual supplements for his Corporate Law and Practice; Volumes 18 and 19 of the Minnesota Practice Series, and also for his Business Law Deskbook; Volume 20 of the same series as well as an annual supplement to his Publicly Traded Corporation treatise The 1999 edition of Corporations and Other Business Associations: Statutes, Rules and Forms, co-authored by Professor Ed Adams, was also published. Professor Matheson wrote an article and participated as a presenter at the Minnesota Law Review’s Symposium on Multidisciplinary Practice, February 26, 2000, and made the feature presentation, “Reflections on Recent Developments in Minority Shareholder Litigation,” at a March 1, 2000 Continuing
Legal Education program on Minority Shareholder Disputes sponsored by the Minnesota Institute of Legal Education. John a. powell delivered his inaugural address as Director and Professor of Law and Public Policy on October 19, 1999. In his address, he described urban sprawl as the greatest current threat to civil rights. On November 16, Professor powell was honored as a recipient of the 1999 Leadership Award by the International National Leadership Institute. The award recognizes individuals who have spent a decade or more working to eliminate social injustice and intolerance during the last century. His article “Race, Poverty and Urban Sprawl: Access to Opportunities through Regional Strategies,” was published in the Forum for Social Economics by the Association for Social Economics during spring 1999. On November 8, Professor powell was the keynote speaker at an Ancient H. Wilder Foundation sponsored event in St. Paul where he spoke about the importance of moving from dialogue to action. He spoke, on the same day, at the University of Minnesota Hubert H. Humphrey Institute on the topic of managing metropolitan growth and development. Professor powell spoke on the issue of concentrated poverty and the effects on poor and minority children at a convention on children’s human rights sponsored by the Children, Youth and Family Consortium and the Human Rights Center, both part of the University of Minnesota. Two commentaries written by Professor powell were printed in the local and national media. One suggested that political fragmentation and sprawl may be the most important impediments to racial justice in the new millennium; it appeared in the September/October issue of the Poverty and Race Research Action Council Poverty and Race Newsletter. The second, a response to the announcement of the $1.8 billion budget surplus in Minnesota, was printed in the Star Tribune. In addition, Professor powell defined the benefits of a regional approach to metropolitan governance in an interview published in the 1999 fall issue of ColorLines Magazine. During 1999, he served as the chair of the Affordable Housing Task Force for the City of Minneapolis.

SHARON L. REICH gave presentations on “Technology and Client Communications: Ethical Issues,” and “Attorney Fees Are There Ethical Limitations on Reasonableness?” for the Minnesota Institute on Legal Education. She also served as course chair for the MILE seminar on Ethics Lessons From the Tobacco Litigation.

SUZANNA SHERRY published four law review articles and a casebook supplement in 1999. Two more articles will be published in 2000. She is currently working on a book about constitutional theory (with Professor Daniel Farber), an article on the intellectual property clause of the Constitution (with University of Georgia Law Professor Paul J. Heald), an article on federalism, and a student study aid in federal jurisdiction. In the popular press, she published two Op-Ed essays in the Washington Post and appeared on local television and radio programs on Supreme Court decisions. She also presented lectures to federal judges, to federal court law clerks, and to the Federal Bar Association. She has continued as editor of the journal Constitutional Commentary.

STEPHENV. SIMON was a co-author on the third edition of Minnesota Misdemeanors and Merging Traffic Violations published in the late fall of 1999. Professor Simon taught a judicial trial skills course for new judges, who come from all over the United States, at the National Judicial college in October and in Iowa in November. The National Judicial college has made the judicial trial skills course, developed here by Professor Simon, a permanent part of the general jurisdiction course offered three times a year for new judges who come to the college from every state in the country. This course is an opportunity for judges throughout the country to become aware of the unique judicial education program that was developed at the University of Minnesota Law School. He was a presenter on the topic of “How to reduce the number of injured alcohol impaired drivers who avoid criminal prosecution” at the annual conference of the National Commission Against Drunk Driving in Washington D.C. in December. This is a very controversial issue as it involves possible changes in states’ physician/patient medical privilege laws that currently prevent physicians from notifying law enforcement of the presence of an injured drunk driver receiving treatment for injuries sustained in the drunk driving related crash. Professor Simon is a member of the working group made up of emergency room physicians and nurses, researchers, law enforcement officials, and prosecutors created by the National Commission Against Drunk Driving to address this issue. Professor Simon was appointed a member of the joint Minnesota House and Senate D.R.I. (Driving While Intoxicated) vehicle forfeiture law clarification commission.

E. THOMAS SULLIVAN completed an article, “Antitrust Regulation of Land Use: Federalism’s Triumph Over Competition, The Last Fifty Years” for publication in the Washington University Journal of Law and Policy. Presently, he is working on the 2nd Supplement to Private Antitrust Actions, an antitrust treatise published in 1996 by Little Brown and Company (with Doug Floyd) and the 2000 Supplement for Antitrust Law: Policy and Procedure published by Lexis/Michie (with H. Hovenkamp), the leading antitrust casebook in the country. Dean Sullivan has been appointed a member to the Association of American Law Schools Nominating Committee to recommend the next President and Executive Committee for the AALS. He also has chaired the decanal review committee for the College of Liberal Arts at the University of Minnesota. In January, he was a speaker at the AALS Annual Meeting on the subject of “Institutional Responses to Increased Accountability in Higher Education.” In February, he spoke at the ABA Mid-Year Meeting on the topic of “The Impact of the Multidisciplinary Practice Proposal on Legal Education.”

DAVID WEISSBRODT participated in a September 1999 conference at the Harvard Law School on the role of the university in human rights; he also gave a presentation to the January 2000 Conference on Electronic Information Systems in International Law, organized by the
American Society of International Law in Washington, D.C. He spoke principally about his experience in helping to establish the University of Minnesota Human Rights Library on the World Wide Web (http://www.umn.edu/humants/). In November 1999, Professor Weissbrodt was nominated by the White House for a second four-year term as the United States member of the U.N. Sub-Commission on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights. He has published several articles on such subjects as the 50th anniversary of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, a drafting history of the Universal Declaration relating to the right to fair trial, current developments at the Sub-Commission on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights, the role of Minnesota in protecting human rights, child survival, the rights of non-citizens, the principle of non-refoulement, and the history of Amnesty International’s mandate.

DAVID E. WILKINS had two books published in 1999. The first, The Navajo Political Experience, was published by Dine College Press. The second study was co-authored with Vine Deloria, Jr. It is titled: Tribes, Treaties, and Constitutional Tribulations: Another co-authored study is nearly complete, co-authored with Yuantina Lomawaima and is titled, Federal Indian Law: Sovereign Constructions: Three articles were forthcoming for 2000: “A Constitutional Compendium: The Resilience of Tribal Sovereignty During American Nationalism and Expansion: 1810–1871,” Oklahoma City University Law Review; “Racial & Ethnic Studies, Political Science, and Mid-Wifery” (with Vine Deloria, Jr.), Wicazo Sa Review; “The Reintegration of the Doctrine of Implied Repeal: A Requirement for Indigenous Treaty Rights,” American Journal of Legal History; and “An Inquiry Into Indigenous Political Participation: Implications for Tribal Sovereignty,” Kansas Journal of Law & Public Policy. Professor Wilkins has continued work on a textbook that will be published by Rowman and Littlefield Publishers dealing with American Indian Politics, and is working with two other editors on a collection of essays in honor of Vine Deloria, Jr. that will be published by the University Press of Kansas. He presented papers at the American Studies Association’s Annual Meeting in Montreal, Canada in October, 1999, and at the Third Annual Tribal Law and Governance Conference held at the University of Kansas School of Law in October, 1999. Professor Wilkins was a delegate to a Tri-National Seminar consisting of delegates from India, South Africa, and the United States. This seminar convened in Tarrytown, New York in October and was hosted at the University of Wisconsin. He gave keynote speeches at Humboldt State University in Arcata, California in June, 1999, the University of Wisconsin/Superior in April 1999, Northern State University in Aberdeen, South Dakota in April, 1999; Northern Arizona University in April, 1999; the University of Wisconsin/Law School in March, 1999; and at the 24th Annual North Carolina Indian Unity Conference, Fayetteville, North Carolina in March 1999. In 1999, he joined the Law School as an affiliated faculty member.

JUDITH T. YOUNGER was the opening speaker at the first ‘Difficult Discussion’ series of the Feminism and Legal Theory Workshop at Cornell University. Her speech was an updated version of one of her earlier articles, “Responsible Parents and Good Children,” originally published in the Journal of Law and Inequality. Her theme was that the law bears some of the responsibility for parental failures because it does not clearly articulate society’s expectations that parents be responsible and that children be good. Neither does it reward this desirable behavior when it occurs. She criticized the law’s present ambivalence on family matters and proposed reforms. This semester Younger, after a semester’s leave, is teaching all three of her usual courses: Family Law, Wills and Trusts, and Property. She is working on studies of the trust and the family, the child protection system, and the report of the Supreme Court Parental Cooperation Task Force. She published an article for the Journal of Law and Inequality entitled “More Light Thoughts and Night Thoughts on the American Family” and wrote the faculty essay entitled, “The Worst Case Contenx,” for the 1999 spring issue of the Law Alumni News.

MARK G. YUDOF, president of the University of Minnesota, participated in the Association of American Law Schools’ Annual Meeting/Workshop on Major Issues of the 21st Century in January at the AALS Annual Meeting in Washington, D.C. He spoke on “What Will the ‘21st Century University’ Look Like (and Law Schools as Part of That)!” as part of the plenary session. This session addressed the metatrends, economics, outcomes assessment, and other issues that will affect legal education in the next millennium. His co-panelists were Bill McBride of Holland & Knight in Tampa, Florida, and Elizabeth Watters of Chester, Wilcox & Saxbe in Columbus, Ohio.
**Faculty Publications: A Summary**

**Beverly Balos**

**Articles**


This article looks at the law reforms achieved over the past three decades in the areas of domestic abuse, sexual harassment, and rape. The article suggests that these reforms have reinforced old borders between traditional categories of violence and prostitution and constructed new ones by maintaining the distinction between worthy and unworthy women. By presenting an analysis that goes beyond the consent/coercion and public/private axes, the article analyzes the concept of respectability to introduce a paradigm of degradation and as a practice of inequality. The article goes on to make use of the concept of respectability to critique previous reforms and to propose a civil rights remedy that is not dependent on the consent and coercion dichotomy as a means to undermine the degeneracy/respectability divide.

**Stephen F. Befort**

**Articles**


Many long-term disability insurance plans provide lesser coverage for disabling conditions that are mental in origin as compared to those that are physical in origin. This pattern of disparate treatment has been the subject of numerous disability discrimination suits and implicates four of the most difficult, currently unresolved issues under the ADA. This article explores the tumultuous legal landscape surrounding these issues and offers a policy-based analytical framework for the future resolution of mental/physical distinctions in employee benefit treatment.

The Supreme Court, in four decisions issued since 1995, has signaled a retreat from its traditional expansive approach to ERISA preemption. In doing so, however, the Court has failed to fashion a predictable, replacement test. The Court's apparent discomfort with its prior ERISA preemption jurisprudence coincides with a message from many commentators calling for a more restrictive scope of preemption. These commentators argue that Congress obviously did not intend a broad scope of preemption with respect to welfare benefit plans because ERISA itself contains little substantive regulation of those plans. In this article, we examine numerous indicia of legislative intent and conclude that Congress intentionally created a largely regulation-free zone in order to encourage the development of benefit plans and to preserve the field of employee benefits for future federal action. The article, accordingly, urges the Supreme Court to formulate a new preemption standard so as to reflect ERISA's libertarian ethos.

**Dan Burk**

**Articles**


Several courts have now recognized the common law action of trespass to chattels as a viable claim to enjoin unwanted e-mail communications on networked computer systems. However, this tangible property approach is a poor fit to the context of cyberspace, and may threaten the integrity of the global Internet system.


Human artifacts, including computer systems, consciously or unconsciously embody the values of their creators. The Internet computer system reflects the normative values of the scientific community that designed and built it; however, these norms conflict with the increasingly commercial usage of the network, requiring legal rules to patch the discrepancies.


Scientific researchers are increasingly able to use digital communications media to collaborate in "co-laboratories" or other virtual research environments. However, such collaborative efforts pose difficult issues of shared ownership in the data generated, as well as for proprietary rights in the virtual environments themselves. Scientific norms of open data exchange may be curtailed by the statutory and contractual regimes implemented to address such ownership issues.


Recent analysis of intellectual property in digital environments has advocated the application of strong property rights regimes to the Internet, on the assumption that electronic transactions will display low transaction costs. However, economic analysis indicates that unclear or "muddy" property rules may be appropriate to high-transaction cost environments, and may be more appropriate for ownership of on-line intangibles.


Global computer networks provide new conduits for the exchange of digitized goods, allowing either consumers or producers to "exit" from the regulatory
Jim Chen

**Articles**


Corinth is dead. The sixteenth edition of the Bluebook has eliminated the strongest negative citation signal of them all. In a society that no longer knows corinth and fears its power, the positive state can go so far as to execute the accused on mere technicalities. Mock as not with citations, but deliver us from pedants. For in Hell there will be nothing but law, and the Bluebook will be meticulously observed.

Mark My Words, 3 Green Bk. 2D 121, (2000) (with Daniel A. Farber) (writing as “Gil Grantmore”).

The Harvard Law Review’s “Developments in the Law” note once epitomized the corporate style in student-written legal scholarship. No longer. As of 1999, Harvard’s “Developments” note has begun to speak in the first-person singular. This essay provides the definitive “I-witness” account.


Globalization marks the end of an epoch. Not just an epoch in the colloquial sense. But an epoch in the geological sense. The spectacle of mass extinction gives rhetorical ammunition to those who oppose globalization as a threat to environmental integrity, labor standards, and cultural independence. But not all claims against the global economic order are equally meritorious. Comparative advantage, freedom of movement for labor and capital, and environmentally informed notions of incommensurability counsel considerable less. The Act’s most vociferous critics argue that the new regime has produced nothing but a cascade of megamergers. The critics imply that mergers since 1996 have done little or nothing to reduce prices, spur innovation, or otherwise enhance consumer welfare. Bell operating companies and other incumbent local carriers have used mergers to defend their markets, even at the expense of accelerated access to long-distance markets. By contrast, long-distance carriers are forming alliances with cable television companies to provide one-stop shopping for local carriage, long distance, wireless service, and Internet access. In the meanwhile, FCC merger policy has emerged as an important, ad hoc response to market conditions not anticipated by the architects of the 1996 legislation.


What if you could change one moment in constitutional history? Would you get what you wished for? This Constitutional Commentary symposium offered eighteen legal scholars the chance to speculate how a mere stitch in time might have changed the Nineteenth Amendment.


Degenracy, like beauty, is in the eye of the beholder. Both sides of the debate over abortion rights have degraded constitutional discourse in America. But one
FACULTY PUBLICATIONS

upgrades its predecessor's comprehensive


Stranded costs are stalking telephony. Incumbent telephone company share-

siders fear that the price of mandatory 

interconnection, collocation, and unbun-
dled access is a fatal dilution of their 

investment. J. Gregory Sidak and Daniel 

F. Spulber have ridden to the rescue by 

comprehensively reimagining the law of 

public utility regulation as a branch of 

takings clause and contract clause jurisprudence. This theory of "deregula-
tory takings" not only links any real sup-
port in the case law; it also resurrects the 

ghost of Smyth v. Ames, one of the most 
moot cases in the annals of regula-
tion. Let the ghost of Smyth be consigned 

again to the grave, for three generations 
of confiscatory rhetoric are enough.

Laura Cooper

Books


For more than fifty years, arbitration has 

resolved disputes for workers represented 

by unions. Today, arbitration, mediation, 

and other forms of alternative dispute res-

olution are largely replacing litigation as 

the means of resolving all kinds of em-
ployee disputes in all kinds of work-

places. The process of dispute resolution 

fundamentally alters the role of the advo-

cate and even the definition of the em-

ployee's legal rights. This textbook is 

the successor to Cooper and Nolan, Labor 


updates its predecessor's comprehensive 

coverage of labor arbitration and adds new 

material on alternative dispute resolution 

of statutory and common law employ-

ment rights of nonunion employees.

Workplace ADR, Simulations and 


Students cannot be prepared to represent 

clients in alternative dispute resolution 

processes simply by learning about the 

law governing ADR. Nor can one assess 

the comparative merits of arbitration and 

mediation for the resolution of particu-

lar disputes without an understanding of 

the fundamental ways in which these 

processes differ from litigation: A course 
in alternative dispute resolution of 

employment disputes therefore needs to 

provide students with experience repre-

senting clients in arbitration and media-
tion. This Teacher’s Guide includes six 
simulations including mediation of a dis-
pute regarding sexual harassment and sex 
discrimination in a law firm; mediation 

and arbitration of a discipline and holi-
day pay case arising under a collective 
bargaining agreement; arbitration of work 
hours dispute in a school district and 

mediation and arbitration of a dispute 

arising under the Americans with Dis-

abilities Act. The book includes all of the 

materials for the simulations and com-

prehensive instructions for the teacher.

Articles

Researching Labor Arbitration and Alterna-

tive Dispute Resolution in Employment, 91 L. 


Lawyers are familiar with the ordinary 
tools for doing research on legal issues 
governed by precedent to represent 

clients in litigation. As more employment 
disputes are resolved through alternative 
dispute resolution methods, lawyers must 
look to new sources of information. This 
research guide outlines print and elec-

tronic resources for doing research in 

ADR, processes in the union and non-

union workplace.

Barry C. Feld

Books


This is the first casebook to be published in the 

field of juvenile justice in more than 

a decade. The casebook compares the 

juvenile justice system with adult crimi-
nal system, and compares different states’ 

laws and policies. It organizes materials 

around three themes: (1) the legal and 

administrative consequences of regulat-
ing children rather than adults; (2) the 

procedural and substantive implications 
of a justice system that nominally empha-

sizes treatment rather than punishment; and 

(3) the tensions between discretion 

and rules that occur in a system that treats 

children rather than punishes adult. The 

materials examine the "law in action" as 

well as the "law on the books," explore 

recent "get tough" policies that have 

compromised the traditional rehabilita-
tive model of juvenile justice, incorporate 

empirical evaluations, criminological 

studies, and developmental psychological 

research, and explore controversial issues 

such as gender and racial disparities in 

juvenile justice administration.

Chapters

Youth on Trial: Is the Juvenile Court for Children or Adults? in Youth on Trial (Tom Grisso and Robert Schwartz, eds., University of Chicago Press 2000).

This chapter in a book commissioned by 
The John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur 

Foundation identifies developmental psy-
chological issues that affect young peo-
ple’s ability to exercise legal rights and to 

participate in the justice process.

Legislative Exclusion of Offenses from Juve-
nile Court Jurisdiction: A History and Cri-
nique, in The Changing Borders of Juve-
nile Justice: Waiver of Adoles-
cents to the Criminal Court (Jeffrey Fagan and Franklin E. Zimring, eds., Uni-

This chapter in a book commissioned by The John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation analyzes the jurisprudential shift from rehabilitation to retribution, from offender to offense, and the legislative exclusion of youths charged with certain crimes from juvenile court jurisdiction.


A thirty-page entry on waiver of juveniles to the criminal justice system and sentencing of juveniles as adults.

Philip P. Frickey

Articles


This essay examines the “arguable violation” theory, developed by Judge John Minor Wisdom in United Steelworkers v. Höfer, justifying racial affirmative action in employment in some circumstances, as well as examining the Weber case and the persons involved in it in detail.


This essay provides a contemporary overview of the common-law process in the United States.


This essay returns to the revival of theory in statutory interpretation and examines the past seven years of developments.


This article contends that the Supreme Court has largely abandoned its canons of interpretation in federal Indian law, preferring a case-by-case, common-law-like approach that weighs non-Indian interests against perceived tribal interests.


This essay is designed to introduce federal Indian law to non-Americans.

Daniel Gifford

Articles


The Microsoft antitrust trial has raised important issues about how the antitrust laws should respond to aggressive behavior by dominant firms in high-technology industries. How should the antitrust laws be construed to ensure continuing innovation in such industries? Professors Gifford and McGowan discuss these issues in the light of the evidence presented in the Microsoft antitrust trial.

Bernard M. Levinson

Books

Deuteronomy and the Hermeneutics of Legal Innovation (Oxford University Press 1997).

Engaging traditional biblical studies, legal history, and literary theory, this book shows how the legislation of Deuteronomy reflects the struggle of its authors to renew late seventh-century Judean society. Seeking to defend their revolutionary vision during the neo-Assyrian crisis, the reformers turned to earlier laws, even when they disagreed with them, and revised them in such a way as to lead authority to their new codification of law. Passages that other scholars have long viewed as redundant, contradictory, or displaced actually reflect the attempt by Deuteronomy's authors to sanction their new religious aims before the legacy of revelation.


The narrative of Yahweh's revelation to the Israelites at Mt. Sinai makes an argument for a new conception of authority and community on two different fronts: both the explicit statements of value found in the legal sections of the pentateuch and the implicit statements made by the redaction of those legal materials into the larger narrative of revelation. This article seeks to integrate law and narrative, synchronic and diachronic methodologies, in order to articulate the concep-
THE DOWNTOWN CHICAGO CORPORATION: A CASE STUDY OF A NEW MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION (forthcoming 2000) (with Donald Fraser and Marvin Anderson).

This essay discusses the Oregon case that enjoined a violent anti-abortion website, examining the implications for freedom of speech.

David Weissbrodt

Articles

Defending Rights Around the Globe: Minnesota’s Contributions to International Human Rights, For the Record, 150 Years of Law & Lawyers in Minnesota, 224 (Wood Foster & Marvin Anderson eds., 1999) (with Donald Fraser and Barbara Frey).


This article, originally presented to an ABA conference on Judicial Accountability, recounts the history of American judicial independence. It examines a number of instances in which courageous state or federal judges defied contemporary legislative and popular opinion to reach results which we now agree were correct.


This essay discusses the Oregon case that enjoined a violent anti-abortion website, examining the implications for freedom of speech.

David Weissbrodt

Articles

Defending Rights Around the Globe: Minnesota’s Contributions to International Human Rights, For the Record, 150 Years of Law & Lawyers in Minnesota, 224 (Wood Foster & Marvin Anderson eds., 1999) (with Donald Fraser and Barbara Frey).


This article, originally presented to an ABA conference on Judicial Accountability, recounts the history of American judicial independence. It examines a number of instances in which courageous state or federal judges defied contemporary legislative and popular opinion to reach results which we now agree were correct.


This essay discusses the Oregon case that enjoined a violent anti-abortion website, examining the implications for freedom of speech.

David Weissbrodt

Articles

Defending Rights Around the Globe: Minnesota’s Contributions to International Human Rights, For the Record, 150 Years of Law & Lawyers in Minnesota, 224 (Wood Foster & Marvin Anderson eds., 1999) (with Donald Fraser and Barbara Frey).


This article, originally presented to an ABA conference on Judicial Accountability, recounts the history of American judicial independence. It examines a number of instances in which courageous state or federal judges defied contemporary legislative and popular opinion to reach results which we now agree were correct.


This essay discusses the Oregon case that enjoined a violent anti-abortion website, examining the implications for freedom of speech.

David Weissbrodt

Articles

Defending Rights Around the Globe: Minnesota’s Contributions to International Human Rights, For the Record, 150 Years of Law & Lawyers in Minnesota, 224 (Wood Foster & Marvin Anderson eds., 1999) (with Donald Fraser and Barbara Frey).
Minnesota is recognized around the world as one of the most important centers of international human rights research and advocacy. Minnesota has provided important leadership in the international movement to define and protect human rights. Minnesota’s citizens, lawyers, health professionals, teachers, and politicians have played key roles in defending these rights around the globe.

Concluding Remarks on the Fiftieth Anniversary of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights in Peter Bardsen, Innovation and Inspiration: Fifty Years of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights 211 (Cees Flinterman & Mignon Senders eds., 1999).

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights has become part of a worldwide culture of human rights which is more pervasive than any religion, political philosophy, or even economic system. But we have quite a long way to go in bringing reality to the rights which are set forth in the Universal Declaration. While the Declaration represents the drafting of only the 51 governments which were members of the United Nations in 1948, the human rights treaties which were inspired by the Declaration have achieved extraordinarily broad ratification and thus demonstrate the universality of the declaration and culture of rights which it heralded.


The future role of the Sub-Commission on the Promotion and Protection of Human Rights is in serious question. The Bureau of its parent body—the Human Rights Commission on Human Rights—has proposed that the Sub-Commission should be deprived of the authority to adopt country-specific resolutions. The Sub-Commission would be authorized to continue holding its open debate on country situations, but instead of resolutions expressing concerns about specific countries, it would only be requested to summarize the debate in its report. Accordingly, the Sub-Commission would apparently not be able to apply human rights issues to concrete situations and would thus be deprived of one of its most important functions. At the same time, however, the Bureau would reduce the length of the Sub-Commission sessions and thus diminish its capacity to have any substantive debates, summarize those controversial discussions, or do other useful work. Such limits will likely discourage NGOs from participating in Sub-Commission sessions and thus make the Sub-Commission much less visible and useful. One of the principal strengths of the Sub-Commission has been its accessibility to NGOs and their initiatives. It is likely that NGOs would lose interest in the Sub-Commission as restructured by the proposal of the Commission’s Bureau.


Any approach to discrimination against non-citizens should take into account several critical factors including different categories of non-citizens (e.g., permanent residents, temporary residents, undocumented aliens, etc.), the more substantial changes in the mandate during its first 30 years and then the remarkable growth of Amnesty International’s mandate during the 1990s. For its entire history, AI has sought to define its terms of reference, but in view of the changes in the mandate since 1991, AI must reconsider once again the scope of its work and, indeed, reconsider whether there is an AI mandate.

The Treaty Against Torture forbids governments from returning persons who are at risk of being tortured if they are returned to their country of origin. The article compares the different ways by which the Torture Convention, the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the European Convention, and other human rights treaties protect persons from being forcibly returned to their countries of origin where they are at risk of being tortured.

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights in Peter Bardsen, Innovation and Inspiration: Fifty Years of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights 211 (Cees Flinterman & Mignon Senders eds., 1999).

On 10 December 1948 the United Nations General Assembly adopted the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR). This article reviews the origins of the Universal Declaration and then focuses principally upon the drafting and meaning of the fair trial provisions of the declaration.

AI Mandate History as a Background to the Way Ahead, AI Doc. SCM 10/99 (1999).

This paper traces the gradual, but irregular growth of Amnesty International’s mandate during its first 30 years and then the more substantial changes in the mandate during the 1990s. For its entire history, AI has sought to define its terms of reference, but in view of the changes in the mandate since 1991, AI must reconsider once again the scope of its work and, indeed, reconsider whether there is an AI mandate.
New Affiliated Faculty Join Law School

Bernard M. Levinson
Classical and Near Eastern Studies

Bernard M. Levinson became an Associate Professor of Classical and Near Eastern Studies at the University of Minnesota in 1997. He holds the Berman Family Chair in Jewish Studies and Hebrew Bible.

Professor Levinson received his B.A. degree in English and Intellectual History, with First Class Honors, in 1974 from York University in Toronto. He earned a M.A. degree in Religious Studies from McMaster University in Hamilton, Ontario in 1978 and a Ph.D. in Near Eastern and Judaic Studies from Brandeis University in 1991. Levinson was a Visiting Researcher in Bible and Semitic Languages from 1979 to 1980 at Hebrew University in Jerusalem.

Levinson was a Teaching Fellow in Near Eastern and Judaic Studies at Brandeis University (1986–87) and a Stoune Fellow in Advanced Jewish Studies at University of Washington (1987–88). He was an Instructor in Religious Studies at Penn State University from 1988 to 1990.

Levinson served as an Adjunct Professor of Religious Studies and of Jewish Studies and an Assistant Professor of Near Eastern Languages and Cultures from 1990 to 1997, when he was promoted to Associate Professor.

In spring 1999, his book Deutoronomy and the Hermeneutics of Legal Innovation (1997), received the Sala W. Baron Award for Best First Book in Literature and Thought from the American Academy for Jewish Research.

Steven S. Smith
Political Science

Steven S. Smith is a Professor in the Department of Political Science at the University of Minnesota and a Distinguished McKnight University Professor.

Professor Smith has authored several books and articles. He is currently preparing papers and articles on the role of public opinion in congressional policy making and on the origins of the incumbency advantage in the modern House of Representatives. He is also developing a virtual laboratory for teaching undergraduate research methods in the social sciences, with funding from the National Science Foundation.

Constitutional Commentary Ranked Third

In a 1999 survey of the relative prestige of specialized law reviews, based on the prominence of the authors who have published articles in those journals, the University of Minnesota Law School journal Constitutional Commentary was ranked 3rd in the country out of the top 384 journals studied. Southern Methodist University Professor Gregory Cresp coded over 10,000 authors in more than 1,000 volumes of nearly 300 specialized legal periodicals published by or in conjunction with law schools. The survey was published in the summer 1999 issue of the Florida State University Law Review.

In spring 1999, his book Deutoronomy and the Hermeneutics of Legal Innovation (1997), received the Sala W. Baron Award for Best First Book in Literature and Thought from the American Academy for Jewish Research.
Professor Carol Chomsky
Co-President, SALT

This year, University of Minnesota law Professor Carol Chomsky is serving as co-president, along with Professor Margaret Montoya from the University of New Mexico Law School, for the Society of American Law Teachers. For Chomsky, a person dedicated to issues concerning diversity and affirmative action, the position seems a natural one.

According to Chomsky, the organization, which came into existence in 1975, is “a place that has recognized diversity, embraced it, in the way that the rest of the Academy did not, and as some may say, still do not. In name it is a society of American law teachers embracing everyone, but in fact it has been a group of progressive law teachers who have focused their work on issues related to diversity in the Academy.”

Chomsky said that SALT has had a very progressive agenda from the beginning, and cited examples of issues the organization focuses on, ranging from challenging the use of the LSAT for law school admissions to the semantics used in teaching evaluations, to challenging the use of the bar exam as an entry point into the law profession. She said: “The law school environment has not been a welcoming learning environment for anybody, but it works better for some students than it does for others. Admissions criteria have been geared toward success in this odd environment that expects certain kinds of behavior and certain kinds of learning to go on… the LSAT and college grades together have some predictive value, but some recent studies have suggested that it’s actually counter-predictive in regards to some minority candidates. Because of the way we use the LSAT without looking at the broader range, we invite people to say ‘you don’t deserve to be here’, we’ve narrowed our concept down of what it means to be good, and we all need to think more broadly, to understand that we shouldn’t judge people by that particular measure, because it’s a measure of one slim aspect of ability.”

SALT holds annual teachers’ conferences in which teachers focus on such topics as how to bring issues of diversity into the classroom subject by subject. “One of the focuses wasn’t just talking about diversity in the classroom, but bringing the world into the classroom and the students out into the world,” Chomsky said. “We talked about projects that would bring us together with the community in which we loved and worked.” She added that the conferences are “well-attended by people hungry for help on those issues and wishing to talk together on them.”

SALT also gives annual teacher and human rights awards. This past year’s teachers award was given to Marjorie Schulz of Boalt Hall Law School at Berkeley; and the human rights award was given to Barney Frank for his work in fighting against discriminatory recruitment on campuses.

Chomsky remembered the first year she attended a SALT dinner, when “I walked into the room; and it was so different, not like any room I’ve been in, so much more inclusive; so for someone who is a bit of an outsider because of race or gender in the Academy, it is such a supportive environment, even though you see the people infrequently. There are differences, clashes, but it is a vibrant and different community. There’s a comfort to being in a room where you can be with people who look like you, it’s a place where you can let down a little bit, where people are looking at you for who you are, not for the status quo.”

Margaret Axtmann, President
American Association of Law Librarians

In a new chapter of a twenty-five year career as a law librarian, Margaret Axtmann is serving as president for the American Association of Law Librarians (AALL), a three-year, elected position that includes one year as vice president/president elect, one year as president, and a final year as past president.

The Association was founded in 1906, to “promote and enhance the value of law libraries to the legal and public communities, to foster the profession of law librarianship, and to provide leadership in the field of legal information and information policy.”

As president of the AALL, Axtmann is responsible for the overall leadership of the Association, development and direction of policy, and strategic and financial plans, among other things. She presides over Executive Board meetings and visits several AALL chapters each year.

As a librarian at the University of Minnesota, Axtmann’s work encompasses collection development, technical services, and library automation. “When I began my career, collections were print-based, card catalogues were the method of access, and electric typewriters were the latest technology,” Axtmann quipped.

Axtmann worked at the Cornell Law Library, the National Center for State Courts and at Hindry and Meyer before starting her position at the University of Minnesota Law Library in 1990. She said that in the past decade, two of the biggest changes in her work have been “the rapid pace of technological development and the consolidation of the legal publishing industry. Reduced or flat budgets will continue to challenge our ability to keep up with new technology, yet we will want to take advantage of all that technology provides for improved resources and services. Legal publishers, while shrinking in numbers, provide a dazzling and confusing array of products to enhance legal research. We are only in the middle of this cycle, and we will continue to see the effects of these changes well into the next millennium.”
Faculty Lectures

Professor John A. Powell
Marvin J. Sonosky Professor of Law and Public Policy

Professor Powell gave his inaugural lecture entitled “Separate and Unequal: How Segregation Undermines Civil Rights” on October 19, 1999. He is nationally recognized in the areas of civil rights and civil liberties. He teaches race and poverty law, property law, and jurisprudence. He is also the founder and Executive Director of the Institute on Race and Poverty at the Law School. Professor Powell was the Julius E. Davis Professor of Law for 1997-98.

Professor Karen C. Burke
The Dorsey & Whitney Professorship in Law

Professor Burke delivered her inaugural lecture entitled “Retirement Security: Reform, Ideology, and Administration,” on March 15, 2000. She is a nationally recognized tax scholar who teaches individual income taxation, corporate taxation, pension law, partnership taxation and subchapter S taxation. Professor Burke was appointed the Julius E. Davis Professor of Law for 1996-97.

Professor Michael Stokes Paulsen
Briggs and Morgan Professor of Law

On January 12, 2000, he delivered his appointment lecture entitled “Is Public Education Unconstitutional?” Professor Paulsen teaches and writes in the areas of civil procedure, criminal procedure, legal ethics, constitutional law, and law and religion. He currently teaches courses on civil procedure, professional responsibility, separation of powers, law and religion and Lincoln and the Constitution.

Professor David P. Bryden
Gray, Plant, Mooty, Mooty & Bennett Professor in Law

“Explaining Rape,” was the title of Professor Bryden’s reappointment lecture which he presented on February 8, 2000. He is nationally recognized for his scholarship in the areas of criminal law and constitutional law. In addition to teaching courses in these areas, he has taught case analysis, torts, expository writing and seminars on abortion and animal rights. Professor Bryden initially was named the Gray, Plant, Mooty, Mooty & Bennett Professor of Law in 1993.
Faculty Scholarly Presentations
The Law School hosts weekly luncheon presentations by members of the faculty, faculty from other departments of the University and visitors from other law schools. Listed below are the 1999–2000 presentations:

Professor Bertil Wiman
Visiting Professor, University of Uppsala Law School, Sweden

Professor Fred Morrison and David Weidbrecht
University of Minnesota Law School, International Law Roundtable on Kosovo, Pinochet, and the International Criminal Tribunal

Professor Marc Galanter
University of Wisconsin Law School, “Old and in the Way: The Coming Demographic Transformation of the Legal Profession and Its Implication for the Provision of Legal Services”

Professor J. M. Balkans

Mr. Marvin Krislov
General Counsel, University of Michigan, “The Compelling Need for Diversity in Higher Education”

Professor Gordon Silverstein
Political Science, University of Minnesota, “Judicial Power, Economic Integration and Democratic Legitimacy: A Macedonian Solution to a European Dilemma”

Professor Stephen Carter
Yale University Law School, “Liberal Hegemony and Religious Radicals”

Professor Robert Kudrle
Hubert H. Humphrey Institute, University of Minnesota, “Does Globalization Sap the Fiscal Power of the State?”

Professor Dan L. Burk
Visiting Professor, University of Minnesota Law School, “Patenting Speech”

Professor Chris Schroeder
Duke University Law School, “Reconsidering Public Choice”

Professor Elizabeth Rymning
Visiting Professor, University of Uppsala Law School, Sweden, “Human Rights in Bio-medicine: Recent Developments in European Health Law”

Professor L. A. Scott Powe, Jr.
University of Texas School of Law, “The Warren Court and American Politics”

Professor Linda Ranch
Hamline University School of Law, “The Role of Academics in Law Revisions”

Mr. Steven Smith
Attorney, Sidley & Austin, Washington, D.C.

Professor Thomas Merrill
Northwestern University Law School, “The Landscape of Constitutional Property”

Mr. Jon Lueck (3L)
Editor-in-Chief, Minnesota Journal of Global Trade, University of Minnesota Law School, “The Dimensions and Consequences of Changes in American Farming”

Professor Joan Williams
American University Law School, “Unbending Gender Does Work-Family Conflict Reflect Discrimination Against Women”

Professor David McGowan
University of Minnesota Law School, “Is Character Relevant? The Use of Persons in Law and History: Two Examples Involving Alexander Hamilton”

Ms. Leena Kurki (’97 LL.M.)
Research Associate, University of Minnesota Law School, “Restorative and Community Justice in the United States”

Professor Kyron J. Huigens
Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law, “Rethinking The Penalty Phase”

Professor Marjorie E. Kornhauser
Tulane Law School, “For God and Country: Young Conscience”

Professor Miranda McGowan
University of Minnesota Law School, “Rethinking the Americans with Disabilities Act”

Professor Kimberly Smith
Political Science, Carleton College, “Mere Tact: Democracy and The Politics of Beauty”

Professor Eugene Garver
Regent Professor of Philosophy, St. John’s University, “Why Should Anybody Listen? The Rhetoric of Religious Arguments in Democratic Liberation”

Professor Dan Gifford
University of Minnesota Law School, “Innovation and Creativity in the Fine Arts: The Reference and Irrelevance of Copyright”

Presentations scheduled in April
Professor Jim Chen
University of Minnesota Law School, “Standing in the Shadows of Giants: The Role of Intergenerational Equity in Telecommunications Reform”

Adam Samaha, Visiting Scholar
University of Minnesota Law School

Professor Betty Baker Bilban
Max-Planck-Institut, Heidelberg, Germany

Professor Robert Hudec
University of Minnesota Law School

Professor Eugene Borgida
Psychology, University of Minnesota

Professor April Schwartz
University of Minnesota Law School Library Orientation to the Interactive Cable Facility
Patents on Genetic Information:
A Threat to Research and Medical Progress or a Needed Incentive?

By Susan M. Wolf, Professor of Law and Medicine at the University of Minnesota Law School and Center for Bioethics, and Director of the University’s Joint Degree Program in Law, Health & the Life Sciences

Industry and researchers are increasingly securing patents on pieces of the genetic code of human beings and other species. But these patents are extremely controversial. Do they create obstacles to public access, university research, and the development of medical therapeutics, or do they provide necessary incentives for research and development? Debate is rancorous over what patents should issue and how much genetic information should remain in the public domain.

Robert Waterston, McDonnell Professor of Genetics at Washington University in St. Louis, now leading a massive effort to help sequence the genetic code of humans and sequence several other species, advocated “Public Access to Genetic Information.” He offered novel solutions to the problems of private patenting, such as individual companies or industrial consortia sponsoring projects to put DNA sequence information in the public domain.

Those grappling with these problems in academia and industry commented as panelists. Christine Marinari, Vice President of Research at the University of Minnesota, laid out the challenges encountered in university/industry collaboration. Robert Elde, Dean of the College of Biological Sciences, focused on the issues raised by university/industry collaboration to create technology incubators in the life sciences, in order to translate academic research into technological innovation.

Two panelists explained intellectual property issues in plant genetics and the related controversy over genetically modified organisms (GMOs) such as crops. It is the ability to patent plant genes that motivates companies to create GMOs and to develop controversial technologies such as the “terminator gene,” rendering crops sterile. Charles Muscogul, Dean of the College of Agriculture, Food & Environmental Sciences, addressed the relationship between biotechnology and agriculture, including the question of GMOs. Roger K measure, retired Vice President of Research and Development at Optimum Quality Grains, argued that patenting plant genes and varieties or hybrids will lead to improved quantity and quality of food.

Two panelists then examined how private patents motivate companies to develop and refine genomic databases, but sometimes hinder researchers’ access to those databases. Pete Magee, Professor in the Department of Genetics, Cell Biology & Development and expert on yeast genomics, grappled with this problem in the context of microbial genomics. Harry Orr, professor in the same department and Director of the Institute of Human Genetics, dealt with it in the context of human genomics. Dan Burk, Professor of Law, then analyzed a further potential barrier to public access, the possibility of copyrighting databases.

Jeffrey Kahn, Director of the University’s Center for Bioethics, reflected on the ethical implications of genetic patents, including the potential commodification of human life.

Susan Wolf, Professor of Law and Medicine at the University of Minnesota Law School and Center for Bioethics, and Director of the University’s new Joint Degree Program moderated the symposium. Provost Robert Bruininks spoke at the luncheon following.

The University of Minnesota’s Joint Degree Program is the first in the country to train students to tackle the next generation of legal and policy problems raised by science, health care, and the environment. Students combine a law degree with a doctorate or master’s in one of seven fields: molecular biology, pharmacology, health services research, environmental health, ecology, conservation biology, and science policy. The Program is producing students uniquely equipped to handle the difficult interdisciplinary problems posed by science, health care, and environmental concerns in the 21st century.
LAW SCHOOL NEWS AND EVENTS

The Horatio Ellsworth Kellar Distinguished Visitors Program

I

Michael Heyman delivered the second annual lecture of the Horatio Ellsworth Kellar Distinguished Visitors Program, entitled “Culture and Process: A Lawyer’s View of the Smithsonian,” on September 29, 1999. Mr. Heyman is the Secretary of the Smithsonian Institution, where he heads sixteen museums and galleries and the National Zoological Park, as well as scientific and cultural research facilities in ten states and the Republic of Panama.

Mr. Heyman received his B.A. degree in government from Dartmouth College in 1951 and his J.D. degree from Yale Law School in 1956, where he was editor of the Yale Law Journal. He was an associate with the firm of Carter, Ledyard and Milburn in New York City (1956-1957) and was chief law clerk to the Honorable Earl Warren, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States (1958-1959). Mr. Heyman began his career at the University of California at Berkeley in 1959 as an acting professor of law and became a full professor in 1961. His distinguished teaching career has included service as a visiting professor of law at Yale University (1963-1964) and at Stanford University (1971–1972). In 1986, he was appointed Chancellor at Berkeley and served in that position until 1990. Mr. Heyman served as Counselor to Secretary of the Interior Bruce Babbitt, as well as Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy at the Department of the Interior (1993-1994). He became the tenth Secretary of the Smithsonian Institution in 1994.

The Horatio Ellsworth Kellar Distinguished Visitors Program was established in 1996 by Curtis B. Kellar (’40) in memory of his father Horatio Ellsworth Kellar. In keeping with his father’s many interests, Curtis Kellar’s desire was to support a lecture series at the Law School which would be interdisciplinary in nature and would connect emerging issues in law with other disciplines such as art, drama and literature.

The William B. Lockhart Lecture

Professor Herbert Hovenkamp delivered the Lockhart Lecture on October 13, 1999. His lecture was titled “Knowledge About Welfare.” Professor Hovenkamp is the Ben V. & Dorothy Willie Professor of Law and History at the University of Iowa, where he specializes in teaching and scholarship is federal antitrust law and legal history. He also teaches and writes in the fields of property law, and occasionally law and economics. Professor Hovenkamp has taught at the University of California Hastings College of Law and the University of Michigan Law School and has had a Rockefeller Foundation Humanities Fellowship at Harvard University Law School. He also has consulted extensively with the federal government, various state attorneys general, and many private firms. Professor Hovenkamp received his J.D. degree from the University of Texas School of Law in 1978. He also holds a B.A. degree from Calvin College, an M.A. in American Literature and a Ph.D. in American Civilization from the University of Texas.


The annual Lecture, now in its 24th year, honors the late William B. Lockhart who served as Dean of the University of Minnesota Law School from 1956 until 1972.
Creation of the Kommerstad Business Law and Entrepreneurship Center

Robert M. Kommerstad, Class of 1952, has made a commitment of $1 million to establish a Business Law & Entrepreneurship Center at the Law School. The new Center includes the establishment of a new online law journal; the development of a joint J.D./M.B.A. program focusing on entrepreneurship, from both a legal and business perspective; a grant program to fund graduates interested in pursuing entrepreneurial careers; and a speakers’ forum series.

Kommerstad is the Chairman and President of Provident Investment Counsel in Pasadena, California, an investment management and consulting firm. He is director and founder of Mellon Bank (formerly 1st Business Bank) in Los Angeles. Kommerstad presently is Chairman of the National Board of Big Brothers/Big Sisters of America and a former president and current board member of Big Brothers of Greater Los Angeles. He is on the Board of Advisors of UCLA’s Graduate School of Business and the Board of Directors of the University of Minnesota Law School.

Kommerstad served as a captain in the Judge Advocate General’s Department of the U.S. Air Force following graduation from law school. He grew up in South Minneapolis and is a graduate of South High School, where he created and funded the school’s first student investment fund.

In announcing the Kommerstad $1 million gift, Dean E. Thomas Sullivan said, “This outstanding leadership statement permits the University of Minnesota to move in the forefront of law schools in educating and training lawyers in the important, emerging area of business law and entrepreneurship. This grand gift is another example of Bob Kommerstad’s wonderful vision and insight into how lawyers should be trained for the 21st Century.”

Dean’s Roundtable Luncheons

Dean Sullivan sponsors the Dean’s Roundtable speakers series for third year students to afford them more opportunities to interact with outstanding members of the legal community. The guest speakers share past experiences and their vision for the future of law practice. This year, the guests of honor were: Wood Forster, Minnesota State Bar Association President; Wendell Anderson (’60), former Governor and Senator; Ann Montgomery (’74), U.S. District Court Judge; Bill Luther (’70), U.S. Congressman; Lee Bearmon (’56), General Counsel, Carlson Companies; John Simonett (’51), retired Justice of Minnesota Supreme Court; and Judy Dutcher (’77), Minnesota State Auditor. Two of the speakers are pictured with students: (top) Congressman Bill Luther and (bottom) Lee Bearmon.
The Minnesota Intellectual Property Review announces the publication of its first issue in May 2000.

The IP Review, the Law School's fifth scholarly publication, will be the Law School's first online journal.

In its inaugural issue, the Minnesota Intellectual Property Review will publish an exciting group of timely and intellectually challenging articles and student notes. The website can be visited at http://www.law.umn.edu/mipr, and the inaugural issue will be available in May 2000. Minnesota Intellectual Property Review Volume One, Number One will include the following articles and student notes:

- E. Thomas Sullivan, Dean and William S. Pattee Professor of Law, University of Minnesota Law School. The Confluence of Antitrust and Intellectual Property at the New Century. This article addresses the harmony and compatibility of antitrust and intellectual property law through a historical perspective. Dean Sullivan writes that both bodies of law promote innovation in markets and consumer welfare in the long run. He concludes that the relationship between both is inexorably linked to a sound public policy that promotes innovation in a free market economy.

- James P. Chandler, Emeritus Professor of Law at George Washington University Law Center, and President of the National Intellectual Property Law Institute. Patent Protection of Computer Programs. This article examines the question whether computer programs are, or ought to be, patentable subject matter under 35 U.S.C. § 101. Second, it considers the questions of whether or not computer programs satisfy the novelty requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 102. Finally, it examines the problem of obviousness, and judgments thereof, as required by 35 U.S.C. § 103.

- Simone A. Rose, Associate Professor of Law, Wake Forest University School of Law. Johnny Can Read, But Can He “Surf”? Harmonizing Copyright Law and Internet Ethics. This article analyzes how copyright law can be harmonized with the ethics of providing equal access to high technology information in public libraries and schools. Professor Rose writes that libraries and public schools are presently unable to provide uniform access to high technology information. She concludes that the lack of funding for copyright licenses and fear of the vicarious liability for copyright infringement are key factors that impede increased access to the Internet.

- Title Match: Jesse Ventura and the Right of Publicity vs. The Public and the First Amendment. Erin C. Skold, J.D. 2001, University of Minnesota Law School. This student comment reconciles the overlap between the First Amendment rights and publicity rights in the context of celebrities turned elected officials. She concludes that publicity rights will unjustly defeat firmly established First Amendment rights when enforced in favor of a politician.

- Fear of Frankenfoods: A Better Labeling Standard for Genetically Modified Foods. Matthew J. Franken, J.D. 2001, University of Minnesota Law School. This student comment addresses the distinctions between the limited labeling requirements for genetically modified foods sold in the United States and the stringent labeling requirements applied to these foods in Europe. The note proposes that a voluntary labeling standard, promulgated internationally, would best address the needs of consumers, producers, and the biotechnology industry.

- The Fourth Circuit’s Holding in Ashley Furniture: Abercrombie Finds its Way into the Fourth Circuit. Rohit A. Sabnis, J.D. 2001, University of Minnesota Law School. The student note addresses the issue of the proper standard of determining inherent distinctiveness in product configuration and trade dress. Specifically, the note uses the Ashley Furniture holding to examine the growing split among the Courts of Appeals on this issue and raises concerns for overly broad trade dress protection.
Pictured are: Professor Jim Chen, the reappointed recipient of the Vance K. Opperman Research Scholar Award, Professor Steve Simon, recipient of the Vaughan G. Pughko Clinical Professorship in Law and Dean Tom Sullivan.

The annual Lex Alumnae holiday luncheon was held at the Minneapolis Club on December 10, 1999. Photographs are of the reception preceding the luncheon and Dean Sullivan, Barbara Berens ('90), President of Lex Alumnae, and Professor Barry Feld ('69), the distinguished luncheon speaker.

Suzanne Thorpe, Assistant Library Director for Faculty and Public Services, was appointed as the 1999-2001 Caroline Brede Scholar. The award was funded through a generous endowment left to the Law Library by Caroline A. Brede. Professor Thorpe and Dean Sullivan are pictured here with a portrait of Ms. Brede.
Every year, as part of their community service outreach, the Minnesota Supreme Court holds oral arguments on pending cases at the Law School (top photograph, Justice Gilbert not pictured). This year the Minnesota Supreme Court visited the University of Minnesota Law School on March 1, 2000, giving students an opportunity to hear oral arguments. (Photographs are from the reception following the hearing.)
Coffman Memorial Union, an historical centerpiece for student activity, is presently undergoing a major renovation which combines new features with old.

According to Maggie Towle, Director of Twin Cities Student Unions for the University of Minnesota, the whole building, which was closed in November, 1999, will be gutted. "We're going to try to restore some of the original character of the 1940s building, trying to recreate the fireplace lounge and the front entrance so when people walk into Coffman there'll be a beautiful two-story lounge with a fireplace on each end. As you continue to walk south into Coffman, the whole backside of the building will be enclosed in glass, creating an atrium look...we're calling that whole area the winter garden," Towle said. There will also be a new entrance on the south side of Coffman and a one-thousand square foot bookstore. Every light fixture original to the building will be taken from storage and reused.

Towle and a committee of eight students are overseeing the entire project, which is being accomplished by K.K. E. Architects at an estimated cost of 45 million dollars. "The remodeling of Coffman has been discussed for years," Towle said, "But it wasn't until the students on the committee were able to raise the funds during the renovation campaign that it became a reality." The project will be paid for by student service fees over the next few years, and is scheduled to reopen in Fall, 2001.
Law School Public Service Program

When last fall, the Association of American Law Schools released its report, “Learning to Serve: The Findings and Proposals of the AALS Commission on Pro Bono and Public Service Opportunities,” (October, 1999), they called it their first systemic effort to address the role of public service in legal education. While outlining the various pro bono models available at law schools all over the nation, the AALS Commission highlighted one unique program that used a statewide collaborative approach to law school public service: “In Minnesota, [the state’s law] schools have banded together to place students in local volunteer projects through a single referral office, the Minnesota Justice Foundation.” Learning To Serve, p. 10 (October, 1999).

This process of “banding together” was no quick or simple task. To the contrary, the program to which AALS referred—the Law School Public Service Program—was the result of a lengthy planning process. In the early 1990s, the Minnesota State Bar Association’s Legal Assistance to the Disadvantaged (LAD) Committee strongly encouraged more law school public service. Based on symposium recommendations, the LAD Committee formed the Law School Initiatives Subcommittee to develop a model public service policy, which was then approved by each law school—the University of Minnesota Law School, the Minnesota State Bar Association, Legal Assistance to the Disadvantaged (LAD) Committee, and the Minnesota State Bar Association’s Legal Aid Office. The program’s official launch, says, “This program is truly unique in its approach, and MJF’s dual roles in both the law school community and the public interest law community make it ideally positioned to administer the effort. It’s also important to remember that having a collaborative program is far more efficient than having three different schools maintaining three separate volunteer programs.”

Though Curry is not from Minnesota originally and went to law school in another state, she tells out-of-state colleagues about MJF and this one-of-a-kind Program. “The reaction I get is ‘Why doesn’t that kind of collaborative pro bono service model exist in other states and cities?’ My answer is that perhaps, very shortly other regions will follow Minnesota’s example.” Curry refers to the Program as “the Minnesota Model” and will attend the American Bar Association’s Equal Justice Conference this April in Houston, where she will tell her counterparts in other states about the Program.

After more than a year of developing new volunteer projects to supplement more traditional volunteer opportunities at legal aid offices around the Twin Cities, MJF—together with the Minnesota State Bar Association and the law schools—launched the Program at the start of the 1999–2000 academic year last fall. How is it going so far? “Even though this is not a mandatory public service program, University students are responding to the call. Since we launched the program, we’ve matched 190 University students with law-related volunteer opportunities. And we’re only six months into the year.” This figure already represents a 55 percent increase in University volunteering over last year’s 12 month total of 122 volunteer referrals. In addition, some University students are developing their own law-related volunteer opportunities or volunteering through another University of Minnesota Law School initiative, such as the University of Minnesota Human Rights Center, headed by Professor David Weissbrodt. “Students who choose to participate in the U of M’s Asylum Law Project can perform all 50 hours over the course of only a few days and often attain courtroom experience,” says MJF’s Director of Programs, Sharon Fischlowitz.

But students can choose among many other types of volunteer opportunities, from AIDS law to zoning law. Some choose to assist immigrants in filling out citizenship and naturalization papers, or to represent clients seeking asylum, others mediate housing and conclusion matters or assist seniors with filling out advanced health care directives. Rishi
Garg, a first-year University of Minnesota student, has volunteered at Life’s Missing Link, a local non-profit serving inner-city youth, where he helps with restorative justice “peace circles” in schools throughout the metro region. “I’ve been able to see a different aspect of the law than is presented in class. Volunteering lets you see real people dealing with real legal issues.” First-year Sierra Gunderson agrees. It is very important to see how the law affects people in their everyday lives and to see the necessity of legal help for people who can’t afford an attorney.” Gunderson also points to another reason to volunteer: the opportunities for professional mentoring. “I have a very strong mentoring relationship with my supervisor [at the Minnesota AIDS Project]. Whenever I have a question, I can always talk to them and they always have the time to explain a particular client’s situation.”

Many students continue to serve their pro bono hours where the need is greatest, at traditional poverty law agencies like the Legal Aid Society of Minneapolis, where clients need assistance with family, consumer, and housing law matters, to name only a few. Sharon Born, volunteer coordinator at the Legal Aid Society of Minneapolis, says, “MJF volunteers have been very helpful. Their volunteering gives me more time to do outreach and innovate to improve services for our clients.”

Still other students are experimenting with volunteer placements outside of a legal aid clinic setting. At WATCH, advocates use law students as courtroom monitors for felony domestic abuse matters, and at-risk students will learn about laws that are highly relevant to their lives. Says Matt Curry, “I prepared for a career in public interest law. I had never seen an attorney in action before coming to law school, and volunteering gave me that opportunity.”

To help develop and steer the Program at three Minnesota law schools, MJF was awarded a two-year fellowship from the National Association of Public Interest Law (NAPIL). With matching funds from all three Minnesota law schools, MJF hired Law alumsa Bridget Johnson (’99) to fill the position. Johnson comes to MJF with experience in a wide range of areas, from environmental justice to children’s law. While a law student, she volunteered with the Legal Aid Society of Minnesota. She also worked with the Environmental Health Coalition in San Diego, and worked on child protection issues with the Minnesota Department of Human Services, Division of Family and Children’s Services. Johnson is helping the rest of MJF’s staff develop new volunteer opportunities in the community, and recruit and train law student volunteers. Johnson insists, “It really makes sense for the law schools to work together on providing their students volunteer legal opportunities. Legal service providers know that they can work through one agency to satisfy their volunteer needs. This is far more efficient than asking providers to recruit law student volunteers on their own or asking them to respond to wave after wave of law students asking to volunteer at their agency.”

Johnson has observed another phenomenon in the law schools. “The launch of the Law School Public Service Program and resources. During the Spring 2000 semester, 25 University of Minnesota law students attended a three day training during their winter break to learn about the best ways to present criminal, consumer, employment, government benefits, housing, immigration, juvenile, school, and family law to high school kids. MJF has placed the students at a dozen different high schools, junior high schools, and community center locations, where an estimated 275 low-income and at-risk students will learn about laws that are highly relevant to their lives. Says Matt Cohen MJF’s AmeriCorps/VISTA attorney charged with steering the Street Law project, “According to some high school teachers, the law students’ classes are prompting some of these young kids to consider law as a career. For their part, the law students appreciate the chance to work with kids and they value the broad education in legal issues from the juvenile perspective.”

First-year students, in particular, are responding to the call to public service, says Curry. “Over two-thirds of all students whom we refer to volunteer placements are first-year students,” according to Curry. Volunteering helps first-year students develop their resumes as well as critical legal skills. “At the same time they are filling a vital client need, they are also gaining valuable legal experience that will help them as they prepare for any legal career,” says Curry. Erin Keyes, a third-year student preparing to graduate this fall, because involved with MJF as soon as she entered law school. A co-chair of the MJF local student chapter at the U of M, Keys found her early volunteer experience essential. “Volunteering provided invaluable experience as I prepare for a career in public interest law. I had never seen an attorney in action before coming to law school, and volunteering gave me that opportunity.”
has inspired various student groups to take on law-related public service projects. I know that the Women’s Law Student Association is currently trying to set up a service project at a battered women’s shelter, says Johnson. Other student groups at the University have helped low-income people fill out tax forms and have worked with Southern Minnesota Regional Legal Services attorneys to assist seniors with advanced health care directives. “One week-end last fall,” says Johnson, “a group of University of Minnesota law students came into the school on the week-end and used the clinics’ phones to conduct follow-up service calls to American Indian clients of Legal Aid Services of Northern Minnesota.”

Steve Befort, Director of the University of Minnesota’s Legal Clinics, explains that the Program is an opportunity for law students to gain practical experience and continue to learn while they serve. “Students are able to take what they are learning in the classroom and translate it into a tangible contribution to the community,” Befort said.

Befort and his colleagues on the University’s Public Service Committee (a committee that includes student representatives for each class along with Professors Weissbrodt and Gerval and Dean Meredith McQuaid) have helped develop the policies and guidelines for the Program’s implementation at the law school. According to Befort, the Program is an ideal response to the call from the legal profession to incorporate public service work into the law school culture. “Both the public and the profession have much to gain from expanding pro bono involvement among lawyers and law students. Our hope is that this Program will foster and sustain the culture of pro bono commitment in the Minnesota Law Schools.”

Goals of the Program

• to provide immediate assistance to understaffed public interest law programs that serve low-income or disadvantaged Minnesotans
• to provide a well-trained and informed group of future attorneys committed to pro bono and public service
• to instill an ethic of public service in law school graduates by making public service and poverty law an integral part of their legal education

Notes

1. The Articles of this Minnesota Symposium: Legal Education & Pro Bono, are published in the University of Minnesota Law School’s Journal of Law and Inequality Volume XIII, No. 1 (December 1994).

National Minority Education Issues Presentation and Panel Response

On April 30, 1999, the Institute on Race & Poverty hosted a presentation by Dr. William Trent, associate chancellor at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, on minority education issues. Trent reported that research provides strong evidence that “educational equity is a goal to be achieved” and that there are demonstrated benefits in working toward desegregation. He added that his research indicates that teacher efficacy (experience) influences how important the race variable is in student performance. Trent added that student achievement tests should not be used to put minorities into less challenging educational programs while their white peers gain access to better schools, programs and teachers. Following Trent’s remarks, Executive Director John Powell led a panel discussion, giving educators, an advocate and a high school student and the audience a chance to respond to Trent’s remarks.

Judge Mathis Visits Law School

Judge Greg Mathis (right), from the nationally syndicated television show “Judge Mathis” addressed students and members of the community at the Law School on February 23, 1999. In 1995, he was elected as judge for Detroit’s 36th District in 1995, making him one of the youngest judges in the nation. His election became a national success story and a symbol of hope for urban youth who are struggling to overcome the modern-day pressures and hardships of poverty, drugs and violence. A former street youth and high school dropout, his lawlessness brought him before a judge who cared, a judge who ordered the teenaged dropout to get a G.E.D. or face jail time. He obtained his G.E.D. and went on to become a lawyer, a committed civil rights activist and public servant. Judge Mathis is pictured with Francis Crowe (2L), President of the Black Law Student Association.
Career Services

What Can Grads Do For Current Students?

Fall Interviewing 2000

In conjunction with the Fall 2000 Reunion Weekend on September 22, 2000, the Career Services Office (CSO) invites returning graduates to conduct On Campus Interviews for their employers either the week before or the week after the Reunion.

New York and Washington, D.C. Off Campus Recruitment Programs

This will be the third year for the New York program, which has more than doubled in size, and the first year for Washington, D.C. Please encourage your New York or D.C. area employers to participate in these fall interview programs.

Get Out of Town!! Programs

Students are very interested in information about practice outside of the Twin Cities. When you are in the Cities for business or vacation, if you can take time out of your schedule to meet with students, the CSO can schedule a “Get Out of Town!!” session with just a few day’s notice.

Mentors for the Class of 2003

Participants in the First Year Mentor Program commit to talking to their students three times each semester, meeting with them if possible whether in the Twin Cities or around the country, and serving as a resource and sounding board for their questions.

For information and registration for any of these programs, please contact Susan Gainen at 612/624-9881 or gaine001@tc.umn.edu or Nora Klaphake at 612/626-9467 or klaph002@tc.umn.edu.

Class of 1999 Employment Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Employment</th>
<th>Total Class</th>
<th>Legal full time</th>
<th>Legal part time</th>
<th>Non legal professional FT</th>
<th>Non legal other FT</th>
<th>Non legal other PT</th>
<th>Total Employed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Class</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed, not seeking employment</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full time degree</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>95.6%</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeking Employment</td>
<td>229</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed, seeking employment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Employed</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>99.12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Types of Employment

- Law firm: 114 (50.2%) 50.0%
- Judicial Clerkships: 54 (23.78%) 24%
- Business and Industry: 37 (16.29%) 16%
- Government: 11 (4.84%) 5%
- Public Interest: 7 (3.08%) 3%
- Military: 5 (2.2%) 2%

Geographic Distribution

- Twin Cities Metropolitan Area: 61%
- Greater Minnesota: 6%
- Out of State: 33%

- National: Median Private Practice: $70K; Median overall salary: $50K; Median Public: $30,887K; Median Combined Private Practice/Business & Industry: $66K.
- Minnesota only: Median Private Practice: $70K; Median overall: $42K.
- 86% Employed At Graduation; 2% non-legal professional; 1.3% part time or temporary
- Range of $$$: $25K (Non-legal professional)–$140K (Multi-national law firm)
- 33% left Minnesota (75/227); 6% to Greater Minnesota; 24 states (AK, AZ, CA, DC, FL, IL, IN, IA, MA, MI, MN, ND, NE, NV, PA, SC, SD, TN, UT, VA, WA, WI)
### Class of 1999 Judicial Clerks

#### Federal Courts
- **Mark Belfer**
  - Judge S. J. Plager (Federal Circuit, Washington DC)
- **Stacey Brenton**
  - Judge Myron H. Bright (9th Circuit, Fargo, ND)
- **Carmen Fish**
  - Magistrate Judge Howard T. Stovall (Rochester, MN)
- **Dartel Fuller**
  - Judge Roger Wollmert (9th Circuit, Sauk Falls, SD)
- **Margaret Grein**
  - Judge Donald Lay (9th Circuit, St. Paul, MN)
- **Thomas Janek**
  - Magistrate Judge Raymond L. Erickson (Duluth, MN)
- **Ryan Johnson**
  - Judge Frank Magill (9th Circuit, Fargo, ND)
- **Douglas Mickel**
  - Judge Gerald Heaney (9th Circuit, Duluth, MN)
- **Dartel Neushok**
  - Ho-Chunk Nation Trial Court (Black River Falls, WI)
- **Ronga Notkin**
  - Magistrate Judge John M. Mason (St. Paul, MN)
- **Diebro McGrath Rechfeld**
  - Judge Donald Lay (9th Circuit, St. Paul, MN)
- **Michael Roos**
  - Magistrate Judge E. S. Swerengin (St. Paul, MN)
- **Entella Schoon**
  - Judge Harold Baer (Southern District of New York, NY, NY) (2000 Term)
- **Stacey Slaughter**
  - Judge Michael Davis (District of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN)
- **Mark Weidemaier**
  - Judge Dolores K. Severn (3d Circuit, Philadelphia, PA)
- **Elizabeth Weller**
  - Judge John Tunheim (District of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN)

#### Minnesota Appellate Courts
- **Janelle Bren**
  - Judge Gary Crippen (Court of Appeals)
- **Zoraida Cho**
  - Chief Judge Edward J. Toussaint (Court of Appeals)
- **Amy Chuan**
  - Judge G. Barry Anderson (Court of Appeals)
- **Michael Delan**
  - Judge Bruce Willis (Court of Appeals)
- **Michael Hellbrick**
  - Justice Paul Anderson, Justice James H. Gilbert (Supreme Court)
- **Paul Kehl**
  - Judge Jill Halbrooks (Court of Appeals)
- **Nancy Man**
  - Judges Gary Crippen, Judge Jack Druce, Judge Harriet Lansing (Court of Appeals)
- **Jennifer McKenna**
  - Judge Marriano Short (Court of Appeals)
- **Kari Thoe**
  - Judge Harriet Lansing (Court of Appeals)
- **Suey Tuffy**
  - Judge Thomas J. Kalkowski (Court of Appeals)
- **Leslie Wiens**
  - Judge Thomas J. Kalkowski (Court of Appeals)
- **Jenica Whitten Molot**
  - Judge Gary Crippen (Court of Appeals)

#### Minnesota Trial Courts
- **Vija Brooker**
  - Judge James Swenson (4th Judicial District, Minneapolis)
- **Paula Brunnet**
  - Judge John J. Summerfield (4th Judicial District, Minneapolis)
- **John Bryant**
  - Judge Leney W. Yost (5th Judicial District, Glencoe)
- **Shannon Campbell**
  - Judge Robert Blower (4th Judicial District, Minneapolis)
- **Michelle Clark**
  - Judge Thomas M. Strange (5th Judicial District, Forgen Falls)
- **Mary Crowson**
  - Judge Anca M. Mcguire (5th Judicial District, Racelot)
- **Tina Dobbsbauer**
  - Judge Gary Lee Neidle (4th Judicial District, Minneapolis)
- **Jennifer Dunn-Foster**
  - Judge Robert L. Wills (5th Judicial District, Wacona)
- **Heather Elliott**
  - Judge Phillip Bush (4th Judicial District, Minneapolis)
- **John Edmondson**
  - Judge Bruce Douglas (5th Judicial District, Buffalo)
- **Brian Erroll**
  - Judge Viki E. Lundtor (5th Judicial District, St. Cloud)
- **Susan Fink**
  - Judge Myron S. Greenhouse (4th Judicial District, Minneapolis)
- **Cynthia Forget**
  - Judge Stephen D. Swenson (4th Judicial District, Minneapolis)
- **Ruth Gaydos**
  - Judge Patricia Bolen (4th Judicial District, Minneapolis)
- **Noemi Janison**
  - Judge Janet Poxon (4th Judicial District, Minneapolis)
- **Jennifer Janssen**
  - Judge Gary Larson (4th Judicial District, Minneapolis)
- **Lee Kell**
  - Judge William Howard (4th Judicial District, Minneapolis)
- **Tasselle Mihes**
  - Judge Franklin Knoll (4th Judicial District, Minneapolis)
- **Joseph McGown**
  - Judge Stephen C. Alford (4th Judicial District, Minneapolis)
- **Dan Riehlender**
  - Judge Timothy Blomberg (9th Judicial District, Mentor)
- **Harold Salam**
  - Judge Marilyn Rosenbaum (4th Judicial District, Minneapolis)
- **Brett Thiele**
  - Judge Terry Halbrooks (9th Judicial District, Duluth)
- **Benjamin Wimbs**
  - Judge Gary J. Pugh (3rd Judicial District, Virginia)
- **Sara Weng**
  - Judge Bruce Chromporthorn (9th Judicial District, Grand Falls)

#### Other States
- **Kathy Jenner**
  - Judge Nioche Steinkruger (Superior Court, 4th District, Fairbanks, AK)
- **Carl Johnson**
  - Judge Peter Michalka (Superior Court, 3rd District, Anchorage, AK)
LAW SCHOOL NEWS AND EVENTS

Structured Study Groups Provide Framework for First Year Students

Socrates said “I cannot teach anybody anything, I can only make them think,” and it seems a fair argument to say that the Structured Study Group model at the Law School has evolved in a way that helps first year students who choose to participate, learn to consider how their professors think about the material they present in order to help the students grasp the materials themselves.

Meredith M. McQuaid, Dean of Students, and Professor Carol Chomsky direct the structured study group program, and have redefined it over the past few years to best fit the needs and desires of the law students involved. Dean McQuaid said the model was based on other graduate programs where “The idea is that you pick peers, not to serve as teaching assistants but as study group leaders that actually take what the students are learning in class and facilitate discussion. It’s another way to arrange the material that takes the students out of the lecture.” Professor Chomsky added “We hope to provide academic support for students but also enrich the whole academic environment.”

During spring semester, McQuaid and Chomsky invite first- and second-year students who think may be well-suited to act as student instructors for the next year. Chomsky invite first- and second-year students to act as instructors.

“structured study group is important because it plays to all students,” said student instructor George Mader. He added, “They’re very concerned about just making it through their classes. Structured study group tends to do a lot of collaborative work, allows the students to compare answers, see how someone else thinks, and we try to facilitate them learning from one another. It’s a large study group organized by someone who’s been through first year already.”

The students who are chosen to be instructors participate in a seminar given by McQuaid and Chomsky that meets once a week and covers such issues as learning styles, diversity, concepts of how things are portrayed in the classroom and how to break down legal analysis. Students are given the opportunity to share ideas and teaching styles. Chomsky said “The seminar gives us a framework for doing different things at different times, we’re bringing to them a lot of understanding about learning theory that we’ve learned over the last several years, to give them a broader context than ‘what are we doing next week?’”

Mader, a third-year law student who worked as a structured study group instructor last year as well as this year, was a math professor at Rock Valley College in Rockford, Illinois before entering law school. While he noted that most students have formed their own study groups outside of the structured study groups, they often come together at his group to get more ideas. He has attended McQuaid’s and Chomsky’s seminar twice now, and feels it’s even better for him the second time around. “The supplemental readings make me more aware that not everyone thinks the same way I do,” he said, “The Socratic method works for some but not for others, and it gives people a chance to learn in a different way. The students react favorably, and if they don’t like it, they are not required to attend.”

He added “The thing that’s difficult for first year students to learn is that it’s not so much the substance and yes, there is substance in the course, there’s rules you have to know, there’s doctrine, common law, and so on, that you need to know about every area you’re studying, but the big difficulty is understanding the process of analysis, being able to get yourself to take any sort of fact situation and not only spot the issues, but the process of...
analysis. What these study group sessions are designed to do is to help people process, be active instead of sitting in the classroom and if you’re not called on, sort of taking a vacation… the study group forces you to interact.”

Wendy Leo Moore, another student instructor in her third year of law school, is also finishing a PhD in sociology. She feels that her background in sociology and her time as a student in John Powell’s property class helps her in her capacity as a student instructor. “Structured study group allows students to be less intimidated by the hierarchy of traditional pedagogy… students want to be able to deal with the material and I think it’s possible to teach students how to deal with adversarial situations in a safe environment,” she said.

“We’ve had really good people to choose from, and Dean Sullivan is very supportive of this program,” McQuaid said. “Overall, if nothing else, we’re providing students with an alternative approach for learning, and in getting to know each other in a different format that’s less competitive and makes the first year a more enjoyable experience. It’s made people feel that we really do want them to succeed.”

The Foreign Lawyer Observation Program

First in the Country

In the 1998–99 academic year, seven candidates in the University of Minnesota Law School’s LL.M. Program for Foreign Lawyers had the opportunity to participate in the initial and experimental “Foreign Lawyer Observer Program” as designed by the Honorable Judge Myron H. Bright (’47) and the Honorable Chief District Judge Paul A. Magnuson. The goal of the program is to provide judges and lawyers from developing countries with an opportunity to observe first-hand the administration of justice in the U.S., and the application of the rule of law. Although selected primarily due to its proximity to Judge Bright and Judge Magnuson, the University of Minnesota Law School was honored to be the first law school to have the chance to provide seven LL.M. students with an experience beyond the classroom; one which would breathe life into the concepts, goals and ideas they studied, which might otherwise have been merely theoretical. The judges and student participants found the experience challenging and rewarding. The LL.M. students, having now graduated, will return home to their countries with a better understanding of the rule of law as administered in the United States.

The students were identified and selected by the University of Minnesota Law School’s Director of International Programs on the basis of country of origin, level of English language communication, academic interest and professional background. The pairing of judge to student was fairly random, except in the instance where a student had expressed a particular interest, e.g. in bankruptcy.

At the University of Minnesota Law School, the LL.M. participants were given one or two credits for their participation in the program depending upon how much time the student spent observing some aspect of judicial administration. On the average, a student was expected to spend 10–15 hours per month observing “live” events for the duration of the semester to earn credit towards the LL.M. degree requirements. Each participant is required to produce a written report in journal/portfolio for mat on their experience.

In the case of appellate judges, it has been discovered, there are fewer opportunities to observe live, interactive events than in the case of district court judges. Through coordination of the participating judges, the LL.M. students were given an opportunity to observe events in courtrooms and chambers beyond that of the assigned judge, which contributed to the experiences of all students.

This year, five foreign lawyers, three federal court judges and two state court judges are participating in the program. The judges from the federal court are Paul Magnuson, Michael Davis (’72) and John Tunheim (’80) and from the state court: Richard Hopper and Marilyn Justman Kaman.

Based on the University of Minnesota model, a number of law schools have adopted similar programs including: Washington University in St. Louis, University of California in Los Angeles, Northwestern University, and Georgetown University.
The Future of the Legal Profession: A Symposium on Multidisciplinary Practice

On Saturday, February 26th, 2000, the Minnesota Law Review and the University of Minnesota Law School, hosted a national symposium debating the American Bar Association's proposal to permit lawyers to deliver legal services as part of a multidisciplinary practice (MDP). The symposium consisted of three panel discussions, featuring two members of the ABA Commission on MDP and thirteen of the nation’s leading professional responsibility and business law scholars. Speakers presented papers debating the benefits and problems of allowing lawyers to engage in MDP, including examination of the market forces driving the debate and the effect of MDP on legal ethics. A question and answer session followed each panel discussion.

The speakers for the symposium were:

ABA Commission on Multidisciplinary Practice Members
Mary Daly, Fordham University School of Law
Geoffrey Hazard, Jr., University of Pennsylvania School of Law
Burnelle Powell, Dean, University of Missouri Kansas City Law School

Nationally-known Professional Responsibility Authorities
Heidi Li Feldman, Georgetown University Law Center
Lawrence Fox, Drinker, Diddle and Reath
Bruce Green, Fordham University School of Law
Carol Nerdham, St. Louis University School of Law
Richard Painter, University of Illinois College of Law
Theodore Schneyer, University of Arizona College of Law
Laurel Terry, The Michigan State University Dickinson School of Law
Charles Wolfram, Cornell University Law School

Distinguished Practitioners and Business Law Professors
Edward Adams, University of Minnesota Law School
Sydney Cone, III, Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton
Piter Kostant, Roger Williams University School of Law
Bayless Manning, Former Dean, Stanford University Law School
John Matheson, University of Minnesota Law School
Lowell Noteboom, MSBA MDP Task Force Member

Papers will be published in the May/June 2000 issue of the Minnesota Law Review. If you would like further information about the symposium, please contact the Minnesota Law Review at 612/625-9330 or by e-mail mnlawrev@tc.umn.edu.

Professor Amitai Etzioni, a world-renowned sociologist, spoke at the University of Minnesota Law School on November 19, 1999. He discussed his ideas of privacy and the origins of the concept of privacy in the Constitution, focusing on the 4th Amendment. Professor Etzioni received his Ph.D. degree from University of California, Berkeley. He is a University Professor at George Washington University and for 20 years he was on the faculty at Columbia University. He also has taught at Harvard and has been a guest scholar at the Brookings Institution. Professor Etzioni is the founder and first President of the International Society for the Advancement of Socio-Economics and past president of the American Sociological Association. Professor Etzioni is the author of nineteen books, the most recent of which is The Limits of Privacy, published last spring. He is, by all accounts, the leading communitarian scholar in the country.
The University of Minnesota Law School
Presents
THE TWENTY-FIRST ANNUAL
SUMMER PROGRAM OF
CONTINUING LEGAL EDUCATION SEMINARS
May 30–June 3 and June 5–9, 2000

This is our twenty-first year of bringing you the very best in continuing legal education! Come and learn from some of the nation’s finest teachers. As always, Summer CLE is very affordable; use the SuperPass and save!

May 30  M.B.A. Concepts for Lawyers  Professor Edward S. Adams

May 31  Trends and Developments in Employment Discrimination Law  Professor Miranda McGowan

June 1  The Rehnquist Court: The Most Significant Constitutional Law Decisions of the Past Fourteen Years  Professor Michael Stokes Paulsen

June 2  The Legal Regulation of Electronic Commerce  Professor David McGowan

June 3  Identifying and Eliminating Bias and Discrimination in the Legal System: Codes, Cases, and other Constraints**  Professor Maury Landsman

June 5  Understanding the Current State of the Law in Trademarks, Copyright, and Related Areas of Intellectual Property  Professor Daniel J. Gifford

June 6  Housing as Opportunity: Legal and Policy Challenges to Gentrification and Concentrated Poverty**  Professor John a. powell

June 7  A Primer on the Law Governing Lawyers: Current Issues in Legal Ethics, Malpractice, Rule 11, and Conflicts of Interest*  Professor Michael Stokes Paulsen

June 8  Legal Research in the Twenty-First Century: From Books to Cyberspace  Professor Joan S. Howland

June 9  Concepts in Entrepreneurship  Professor Edward S. Adams

3.25 CLE credits have been requested for Identifying and Eliminating Bias
6.50 CLE credits have been requested for all other courses
*Ethics credits requested
**Elimination of Bias credits requested

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CALL 612/625-4544

The Institute on Criminal Justice

The Institute on Criminal Justice sponsored a national policy workshop, “Delinquents Under 10: Targeting the Young Offender” on October 1–2, 1999. The event drew a national faculty and an audience of policymakers from around the country to grapple with the challenging issues presented by such young offenders. The workshop was based in part on the research and program development on delinquents under 10 done by Janet Wiig, Executive Director of the Institute, while she was an Assistant Hennepin County Attorney.

The workshop addressed such issues as 1) targeting young offenders as a crime prevention strategy; 2) developmental pathways to delinquency; 3) delinquency predictors; 4) the design of an effective response; and 5) who pays for early intervention. Some of the faculty participating in the workshop were local experts such as Judge Pamela Alexander, 4th Judicial District; State Representative Mary Murphy; and Professor Barry Feld. They were joined by, to name a few, Professor David Farrington, Cambridge University; Howard Davidson, Director of the ABA Center on Children and the Law; Peter Greenwood, RAND; and Professor Gail Wasserman, Columbia University.

The workshop was part of the Institute’s semiannual workshop series in which the Institute selects topics of concern to criminal justice policymakers and administrators. These workshops, held in a law school classroom, are limited to approximately 100 persons and designed to promote policy discussion and debate. The Institute’s next workshop, “Responding to the Crowded Jail,” will be held May 15–16, 2000. Professor Richard Frase, Director of Research and Co-Director of the Institute, will be a featured speaker.

Law Alumni News / Spring 2000  41
Environmental Moot Court

The Environmental Law Moot Court sent a team of three students to the Pace University Environmental Moot Court Competition in White Plains, New York on February 23–27, 2000. The competition is comprised of a brief writing exercise and oral arguments about a cutting-edge hypothetical environmental law problem, with more than 70 teams from around the nation competing. The Minnesota team included Roy Christensen (3L), Craig Barber (3L) and Kathleen Howard (2L). Though the team did not advance into the final rounds, Roy and Kathleen each received awards for individual performances during the preliminary rounds.

International Moot Court

After competing in the Midwest regional competition at Washington University Law School in St. Louis on February 18 through February 20 of this year, Minnesota’s International Moot Court competition team advanced to the international round in Washington, D.C. on April 2. Team members, Nathan Alexander (2L), Jessica Durbin (2L), Steven Katras (2L), Theodore Kittila (3L), and Mona Peterson (2L), will be arguing the “Case Concerning the Vaccines Trials,” a problem involving international bioethics, human rights, and corporate law issues. They will compete against teams from at least fifty other countries, as well as teams from a dozen different regions of the U.S.

ABA National Advocacy

The ABA National Advocacy Competition was on March 3rd and 4th in Houston. Twenty-nine teams participated in the Midwest Region. Two teams from the University of Minnesota acquitted themselves with great distinction in the regional rounds of the National Moot Court Competition, held in Minneapolis at the University of Minnesota Law School on November 18 through November 20, 1999. The Petitioner’s Team (Christopher McCullough (3L), Steve Olson (3L), and Sarah Jepsen (3L)) finished in second place with a 5-win/1-loss record. They were undefeated until the championship round. Steve Olson won the award for the Best Individual Oral Argument in the Championship. The Respondent’s Team (Steve LaPierre (3L), Karen Johansen Meeker (3L), and Elizabeth Moffitt (3L)) reached the quarter-finals, and finished with a 3-win/1-loss record. The Team won the Respondent’s Best Brief trophy. Steve LaPierre won the individual trophy for the Best Overall Oral Advocate in the Tournament. The Petitioner’s Team advanced to the National Final Rounds in New York in February, 2000. This is the 9th time in the last 18 years that a University of Minnesota team has gone to nationals.

Maynard Pirsig Moot Court

The Maynard Pirsig Moot Court Oral Argument Competition was held during March 2000 here at the Law School. This is an intra-mural competition among the second year appellate advocacy sections. The first place oral argument trophy went to Amos Cohen (2L), who argued off-brief as Respondent in the championship round. The second place oral argument trophy went to Rebecca Lawrence (2L), arguing as Petitioner in the championship round. The best brief trophy went to Christopher Renz (2L) and the second place trophy went to Tanna Schwarz (2L). A reception was held in the Rare Books Room following the conclusion of the final argument on Thursday, March 16. The final selection for the next ABA National Appellate Advocacy Competition Team has not been finalized as of the date of this article.

1999–2000 Moot Courts Competition

National Moot Court team: administrative director Page Fleeger, coaches Bradley Clary and Pamela Siege, and program assistant Susan Miller. From left: Pamela Siege (’95), Page Fleeger, Christopher McCullough, Steven Olson, Steve LaPierre, Sarah Jepsen, Elizabeth Moffitt, Susan Miller, Karen Johansen Meeker, Bradley Clary (’73).
Fifteenth Annual University of Minnesota Law School National Civil Rights Moot Court Competition

Held March 2, 3 & 4, 2000

The Fifteenth Annual University of Minnesota Law School National Civil Rights Moot Court Competition was held March 2–4, 2000 at the University of Minnesota Law School. Twenty-eight teams participated. Each team briefed and argued *Walker v. City of Mesquite*, 169 F.3d 973 (5th Cir. 1999), cert. denied, 120 S. Ct. 969 (Jan. 18, 2000), a case that focused on the attempt by white homeowners in an affluent area of Dallas to enjoin construction of low cost public housing units in their community and their claim that the court order directing that such project be built violated the Equal Protection Clause of the U.S. Constitution. The construction project was part of an effort to remedy years of segregation in Dallas public housing. The teams argued whether the white homeowners had standing to challenge the remedial order and, if so, whether their equal protection rights were violated.

The Honorable Norma L. Shapiro, District Judge, United States District Court in Philadelphia, the Honorable Edward Toussaint, Chief Judge of the Minnesota Court of Appeals, former Commissioner of the Minnesota Department of Human Rights, Stephen W. Cooper and Richard L. Varco, Jr., an Assistant Attorney General with the Minnesota Office of the Attorney General, presided over the final argument in Lockhart Hall.

The overall winner of the competition was New York University School of Law. The University of Minnesota Law School team consisting of Susan MacMenamin (3L) and Mike Geiger (3L), placed second. The University of Minnesota Law School team consisting of Phil Duran (3L) and Paul Chestovich (3L) won Best Brief honors. Francis Clay from Mercer Law School Team No. 1 was named Best Oral Advocate with Farrah Pepper of New York University School of Law.

The National Civil Rights Moot Court Competition winners pose with members of the final panel. From left to right: Jessica Barnett, Christopher Kipluk and Farrah Pepper of first place New York University School of Law are flanked by the Honorable Norma L. Shapiro and Minnesota Assistant Attorney General Richard L. Varco, Jr. on the left and the Honorable Chief Judge Edward Toussaint, Jr. and Stephen W. Cooper, Esq. on the right.

The two University of Minnesota teams, National Civil Rights Moot Court Competition Best Brief winners, Phil Duran (3L) and Paul Chestovich (3L), and Second Place winners, Susan MacMenamin (3L) and Mike Geiger (3L), are flanked by their coaches, Jennifer Ampelski, Esq. and Steve Baberin, Esq. who are also Attorney Instructors with the law school’s Civil Rights Moot Court.
placing a close second and receiving Honorable Mention. The other teams that advanced to the quarter-final and/or semi-final rounds included Villanova University School of Law and George Mason University School of Law which advanced to the semi-finals, and the University of Wisconsin School of Law Team No. 1, Mercer Law School Teams 1 & 2 and the University of Minnesota Team No. 1 which advanced to the quarter-finals.

As with all of the Law School's moot court programs, the National Civil Rights Moot Court Competition received strong support from the practicing bar and bench. Over 140 members of the bar and bench took part in judging briefs, oral arguments or both. Prior to the competition, the Civil Rights Moot Court offered the volunteer judges a free Continuing Legal Education program, “Breaking Up the Ghetto: The Barriers to Integrating Low Cost Housing and People of Color into the Broader Community.” The well attended program included a panel discussion regarding the legal, constitutional and policy issues involved in housing cases like *Walker v. City of Mesquite* and *Hollman v. Cisneros*. An on-going local case similar to Walker in which several units of subsidized housing on the near north side of Minneapolis have been razed and efforts are being made to integrate its tenants and low income housing into non-minority and more affluent areas of the city and suburbs.

The panel consisted of law school faculty member and Director of the Institute on Race and Poverty, Prof. John Powell; Minneapolis Legal Aid attorney Tim Thompson, who represents the class of Minneapolis housing project tenants; HUD counsel Steve Gronewald, Minneapolis Housing Public Housing Authority attorney Jack Cann and NAACP attorney Tom White. Professors Philip Frickey and Jim Chen discussed the appropriate analysis of constitutional and standing issues in cases of this nature. Minneapolis Legal Aid Housing Discrimination Law Project attorney Kevin Reuther discussed the status of equal housing opportunity in the Twin Cities and causes of action and remedies for housing discrimination, and Professor Maury Lashman discussed the ethical issues involved in using class actions to address housing and other forms of discrimination.

Photographs from the annual Barrister’s Ball, held at the elegant Gale Mansion in south Minneapolis on Saturday, February 26, 1999.
Third Year Student: Well Published Scholar

Jon Lauck is a third year law student and editor-in-chief of the *Minnesota Journal of Global Trade*. He received his B.S. from South Dakota State University, and his M.A. and Ph.D. in History from the University of Iowa. Lauck wrote his doctoral dissertation about competition issues in the agricultural sector. Specifically, he explored consequences of growing levels of concentration in the agricultural processing sector for farmers who sell their products to those sectors. He also explored the efforts of farmers to organize themselves into bargaining associations and cooperatives to counter the power of the concentrated agricultural processing sector. During the first two years of law school, Lauck revised the dissertation into a book based on the comments of three peer reviewers for the University of Nebraska Press. The book, *American Agriculture and the Problem of Monopoly: The Political Economy of Grain Belt Farming, 1953–1980*, was recently released.

After working as a research assistant for Dean Sullivan, taking classes in antitrust law from Professor Gifford and agricultural law from Professor Chen, Lauck authored the article “Toward an Agrarian Antitrust: A New Direction for Agricultural Law” for the *North Dakota Law Review* (Fall 1999). The article explores many of the legal implications of his previous research and sets forth a new model for addressing antitrust issues in agricultural markets. Specifically, Lauck advocates a higher level of antitrust scrutiny for agricultural processing sector given the high level of concentration and the absence of bargaining power among farmers.

In the spring of 2000 the *Drake Journal of Agricultural Law* will publish Lauck’s article “After De-Regulation: Constructing Agricultural Policy in the Age of ‘Freedom to Farm,’” which sets forth a policy framework to be used in the impending debates over the revision of the farm bill in 2002. In the winter of 2000, Lauck authored “Farmer Cooperatives and the Federal Securities Laws: The Case for Non-Application,” with Professor Edward Adams. The article calls for a clear cooperative exemption from the securities laws in order to bolster the organizational strength of the farm sector. In the summer of 1999, the *Minnesota Journal of Global Trade* published “Against the Grain: The North Dakota Wheat Pooling Plan and the Liberalization Trend in World Agricultural Markets,” in which Lauck analyzed a North Dakota plan to promote cooperative exporting.

These writings have led to Lauck’s advisory role in the drafting of the Farmers and Ranchers Fair Competition Act, which has been advanced by Senators Patrick Leahy (VT) and Tom Daschle (SD). The proposed statute gives the Department of Agriculture a greater role in the review of mergers in the agricultural processing sector. Lauck was also retained as an expert witness by the Attorney General of Missouri in his defense of the constitutionality of the state’s livestock price discrimination law. Lauck testified in Jefferson City in March.

After law school, Lauck plans to return to his home state of South Dakota and practice agricultural law with the firm of Davenport, Evans, Hurwitz & Smith in Sioux Falls.

The annual Welcome to the Bar Breakfast was held on October 29 for 1999 graduates and their family and friends, prior to the Minnesota State Bar Swearing In Ceremony.
Judge Roberta Levy Retires from Trial Practice Program

After 24 years as an instructor for the trial advocacy program at the University of Minnesota Law School, Judge Roberta Levy retired from her teaching position after Spring Semester, 1999. She was honored at a reception hosted by Dean Sullivan on October 12.

Reminiscing over her history as a trial practice teacher, Levy said she loved teaching and will miss it, though she’s happy to have a slightly less hectic schedule. She remains in her position as a Hennepin County District Court Judge. She added, “It was a great course with great students. They’re basically a self-selecting group. These are kids who are really interested in the process and in learning as much as they can.”

Judge Levy always employed the NITA (National Institute for Trial Advocacy) method, in which the students “learned by doing” in the setting of an assimilated trial. “It’s emotionally and physically exhausting,” Levy said, “It tries to simulate a real trial experience in every way…in addition, every night two trial lawyers would be present, and would talk about other approaches, critique students in a constructive, positive way. No one was ever told to go to dentistry school.”

Levy praised her students, saying that she believed the course was tough and at times scary for them, adding “These are kids who are really interested in the process and in learning as much as they can. It makes students a little more comfortable the first time they go to court. It comes as close as it can to the real thing.”

Borgeson awarded 1999 Distinguished Alumnus at the University of Washington

Earl Borgeson has been named the 1999 Distinguished Alumnus by the School of Library and Information Science at the University of Washington.

Borgeson was honored for his long and notable career as a librarian and for his commitment to the field of library and information science. Borgeson is a 1949 graduate of the University of Minnesota Law School. He earned his Masters in Law Librarianship at the School of Library and Information Science (1950) and served as director of the Harvard University Law School Library (1952-1970). In addition, Borgeson, formerly president of the American Association of Law Libraries, directed the Southern Methodist University Law Library (1978-1988), and held high administrative posts in the Stanford University Libraries and the Los Angeles County Law Library.

While directing the law library at Harvard, Borgeson served as a mentor for future presidential hopeful Elizabeth Dole. Borgeson encouraged her to attend law school at Harvard. Borgeson and Dole were reunited while Borgeson was in Seattle to receive his award.

Huntrods Receives University Alumni Service Award

Ann Huntrods (’81) was awarded the University of Minnesota Alumni Service Award by President Mark Yudof on January 20, 2000 at Eastcliff. The Alumni Service Award is the highest volunteer service award conferred upon alumni by the University of Minnesota, and recognizes graduates or former students of the University who have contributed extraordinary volunteer service to the University, the University of Minnesota Alumni Association or any of its constituent groups. Ms. Huntrods is a partner in the law firm of Briggs and Morgan.
Distinguished Alumni

John D. Kelly
Class of 1974

John D. Kelly is a partner and President of the law firm of Hanzel Fride in Duluth. Kelly has 25 years of experience as a trial lawyer, representing individuals, corporate clients and insurance companies in tort actions and commercial disputes, including antitrust, professional liability, product liability, contract, employment, and personal injury matters. Kelly is a frequent instructor in trial advocacy programs.

He received his Bachelor of Arts degree in 1968 from Harvard University. After serving in the U.S. Army from 1968 to 1971, he entered the University of Minnesota Law School. Kelly received his J.D. summa cum laude from the Law School in 1974. He was admitted to the Minnesota Bar in 1974 and to the Wisconsin Bar in 1986. He is admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, and the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

Kelly serves as President of the Minnesota State Board of Law Examiners. He is a member of the Minnesota and Wisconsin bar associations, American Bar Association, the Minnesota Defense Lawyers Association, the International Association of Defense Counsel, and the American Board of Trial Advocates. Kelly is listed in the publications “The Best Lawyers in America” and the “Business Guidebook to Law and Leading Attorneys.”

Earle F. Kyle, IV
Class of 1991

Earle F. Kyle IV is Senior Legal Counsel with Medtronic, Incorporated and a former partner with Lockridge Grindal Nauen in Minneapolis, where he also worked for seven years as an associate. In 1999, Kyle was elected to the American Law Institute which has 3,500 members and fewer than 60 members in Minnesota.

He is a 1991 graduate of the University of Minnesota Law School where he was a member and Article Editor of the journal Law and Inequality, recipient of a Royal A. Stone Foundation Fellowship, and member of the Dean’s List. Kyle also attended the John F. Kennedy School of Government Senior Summer Institute at Harvard University as an Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Fellow with the Association for Public Policy Analysis and Management. He received a DeWitt Wallace Fellowship to attend Cambridge University in England for a year abroad from his studies as an undergraduate at Macalester College in St. Paul. Kyle also received a Master of Planning degree from the University of Minnesota’s Hubert H. Humphrey Institute of Public Affairs where he was also a Sloan Fellow. Kyle was a law clerk for Hennepin County District Court Judge Lujane T. Lange. Prior to joining Lockridge Grindal Nauen, Kyle practiced with the law firm of Winthrop & Weinstine in St. Paul.

On appointment by the Mayor of St. Paul, Kyle also served as a Commissioner with the St. Paul Human Rights Commission. Kyle has been a frequent course chair, keynote speaker, and panelist continuing legal education seminars on various litigation topics. He has served as an adjunct professor of legal writing and advocacy at the University of Minnesota and William Mitchell College of Law and a bar exam grader for the Minnesota Board of Law Examiners, is listed in Minnesota’s Journal of Law and Politics (1995) “Who’s Who in Employment Law,” is a member of the Hubert H. Humphrey Institute Alumni Board of Trustees, serves on the Penumbra Theatre Company Board of Trustees.

Among other publications, Kyle published a widely praised feature article in Trial, the Journal of American Association of Trial Lawyers of America, entitled “Combating Unsavory Deposition Tactics” (September 1997). He currently serves on the Board of the American Bar Association publication The Practical Litigator.

He serves on the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission Mediation Advisory Board and has served on numerous other boards, is a member of the Association of Trial Lawyers of America, the Federal Bar Association, the Minnesota Association of Black Lawyers, former Co-Chair of the Minnesota Minority Corporate Counsel Program.
and member of the Minnesota and Hennepin County Bar Associations. Kyle presently serves on the Civil Litigation Section Governing Council of the Minnesota State Bar Association, and was recently elected to the Hennepin County Bar Foundation.

Richard Moe
Class of 1966

When Richard Moe became the seventh president of the National Trust for Historic Preservation, he brought to the position a lifelong interest in history and a career-long commitment to public service.

A native of Duluth, Minnesota, Moe graduated from Williams College in 1959 and soon launched the public-service career that led to administrative positions in city and state government and the chairmanship of the Minnesota Democratic-Farmer-Labor Party. During this period he also received a law degree from the University of Minnesota Law School.

In 1972 he moved to Washington to be administrative assistant to Senator Walter F. Mondale. Five years later he was named chief of staff to Vice President Mondale and a member of the Carter White House senior staff. He practiced law in Washington from 1981 until he assumed the presidency of the National Trust in January of 1993.

A member of the Committee for the Preservation of the White House and the boards of the Ford Foundation and the Civil War Trust, Moe was awarded an honorary doctorate from the University of Maryland in 1998. He is co-author of Changing Places: Rebuilding Community in the Age of Sprawl, a study of the causes of urban decline and the use of historic preservation as a tool for revitalization, published in 1997; and author of The Last Full Measure: The Life and Death of the First Minnesota Volunteers, a Civil War history published in 1993.

Chartered by Congress in 1949, the National Trust is the largest private, non-profit, membership preservation organization in the United States. It has more than a quarter million members nationwide, operates six regional offices and has 20 historic sites. As president, Moe is responsible for leading the organization in its mission to provide leadership, education and advocacy to save the nation’s diverse historic places and create more livable communities for all Americans.

Under his direction, the National Trust has become an outspoken and effective advocate of controlling sprawl, has launched efforts to demonstrate and document the effectiveness of preservation as a tool for community revitalization, and has reaffirmed its commitment to strengthening the organized preservation movement.

Bonnie E. Raquet
Class of 1982

Bonnie E. Raquet is the Vice President of Public Affairs at Cargill, Incorporated and President of Cargill Technical Services, Incorporated. Raquet was named Vice President of Cargill’s Public Affairs Department in 1996. She manages Cargill’s Government Relations office in Washington, D.C. Her responsibilities include representing Cargill’s business and corporate policy interests at the federal level and managing Cargill’s international business interests in Washington. Raquet is also president of Cargill Technical Services, Inc., Cargill’s international agricultural development subsidiary, which is headquartered in Washington.

Raquet is admitted to practice law in Minnesota and the District of Columbia. She is a member of the American Bar Association, and the bar associations of Minnesota, Hennepin County and the District of Columbia. She is also a member of the Board of Directors and Executive Committee of the National Policy Association, and a member of the Management Committee of the Pacific Basin Economic Council.

Secretary of Commerce William Daley appointed Raquet to the Advisory Committee on Africa in 2000. The Committee’s purpose is to provide the Department with direction on U.S. commercial policy toward Africa and in the region over the next two years.

Raquet was born in Wisconsin Rapids, Wisconsin. She received a bachelor’s degree in history from Valparaiso University, and a master’s degree in history from Cleveland State University. She graduated cum laude from the University of Minnesota Law School in 1982.
Gerry Sikorski  
Class of 1973

Gerry Sikorski is Chairman of the Public Law department of Holland & Knight, one of the largest and fastest growing law firms in the world with more than 960 lawyers that focus on more than 85 areas of the law; and four departments.

Sikorski represented Minnesota in the U.S. House of Representatives for ten years, from 1983 to 1993. During this time he served on the powerful Energy and Commerce Committee, with jurisdiction over telecommunications, finance, trade, consumer protection, health, environment, insurance, nuclear power, securities, utilities, and energy laws. The New York Times and the Washington Post have acknowledged Sikorski’s extensive experience on facets of the Congressional process.

For ten years, Congressman Sikorski served with Chairman Dingell on the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations, with a purview of all the Committee’s subject matter jurisdiction, and served as Vice Chairman to Henry Waxman on the Health and Environment Subcommittee, where he was a leader on health reform and environmental issues.

He was the author of the Community Right to Know law requiring public reporting of toxic emissions and was also a prime sponsor of the 1990 Clean Air Act. Congressman Sikorski also served for ten years on the Post Office and Civil Service Committee, chairing the Civil Service Subcommittee. For ten years he was a Whip-at-Large in the House leadership. Prior to his time with the House, Congressman Sikorski served as a state senator for six years, chairing committees with jurisdiction over Health, Welfare and Corrections appropriations and publicly regulated industries.

Sikorski graduated summa cum laude and Phi Beta Kappa from the University of Minnesota in 1970. He earned his Juris Doctorate in 1973 from the University of Minnesota Law School, where he graduated magna cum laude.

The tradition continues!
The annual faculty/student softball game was held October 23, 1999.


Please watch your mail for dates, time and locations.

If you have any questions regarding your reunion, please contact Terri Mosche, Director of Alumni Relations and Communications at misch002@tc.umn.edu or 612/625-6584.
1949
Earl Borgeson was named the 1999 Distinguished Alumnus by the School of Library and Information Science at the University of Washington.

1957
Michael J. Galvin, Jr. received the Saint Paul Area Chamber of Commerce Great Living Saint Paulite Award. The award was presented at the 132nd Annual Meeting, held on January 18, 2000. The Great Living Saint Paulite Award is presented by the Saint Paul Area Chamber of Commerce to honor an individual for his/her continuing exemplary dedication and significant community and public service.

1962
John J. McGirk, Jr. joined the law firm of Leonard, Street and Deinard. His practice focuses in the area of labor relations and litigation. McGirk previously practiced with the former law firm of Dobson, Rainville & Butler.

1964
Mahlon C. Schneider, vice president and general counsel of Hormel Foods Corporation, was elected senior vice president of external affairs.

1965
Richard A. Bowman was selected by The National Law Journal as one of Minnesota’s top ten litigators. Bowman is a partner at the Minneapolis law firm of Bowman & Brooke.

1966
Thomas R. Thibodeau announced the opening of the law office of Thibodeau, Johnson, Ferrancek & Costley in Duluth, Minnesota. The firm will focus on civil trials. Thibodeau was formerly with the Duluth firm of Johnson, Killen, Thibodeau & Seiler.

1967

1968

1969
Robert R. Weinstine was selected by The National Law Journal as one of Minnesota’s top ten litigators. He is a partner at the Minneapolis law firm of Winthrop & Weinstine and specializes in complex commercial litigation, including antitrust, securities, banking product liability and shareholder disputes.

1971
Richard Mark was selected as vice president of the Briggs and Morgan law firm board of directors for 2000.

1972
David Martin was selected as a partner in the Hudes, Bennett, Egan & Arundel law firm. He practices in the Litigation Department in the area of products liability, toxic tort and complex litigation.

1973
Frank Ackerman announced that he is now engaged in the practice of law and
alternative dispute resolution at his own firm. He practices in the areas of family law, personal injury, medical negligence, employment law, mediation and arbitration. Abramson was formerly with the law firm Lapp, Laurie, Libra, Abramson & Thomson.

Larry M. Nord was selected as a Leading American Attorney by the American Research Corporation. Nord is a partner in the Orman & Nord law firm in Duluth, Minnesota. He practices family law.

Raymond D. Rossini was named “1999 Minnesota Super CPA” by Minnesota Law & Politics and Twin Cities Business Today. Rossini is an attorney/financial analyst/tax practitioner representing individuals with large estates, entrepreneurs, and real estate owners at the Minneapolis law firm of Rossini & Rossini.

1974
Jeffrey Shade was selected as president of the Briggs and Morgan law firm board of directors for 2000.

1976
Stuart D. Gibson received an “Outstanding Performance” Award from the Tax Division of the U.S. Department of Justice for his successful defense of a $1 billion refund suit brought by Bell Atlantic Corporation. This is the fifth such award he has received since joining the Department of Justice in 1984. Gibson was recently re-elected to a second 4-year term on the Fairfax County (Virginia) School Board. Fairfax County Public Schools is the 12th largest school system in the United States.

Stephen D. Morrison was named a Certified Mortgage Banker by the Mortgage Bankers Association of America. The award is the industry’s highest; since 1973, 558 awards have been made. Morrison is a senior vice president of Industry and Government Relations for Northwest Mortgage Incorporated in Des Moines, Iowa.

1977
Edward J. Cleary, director of the Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility and the Client Security Board, was recently elected to serve on the Minnesota News Council. The News Council board, which is made up of twelve media members and twelve public members, holds public hearings to consider citizen complaints filed against the news media. Mr. Cleary, a past president of the Ramsey County Bar Association, will serve on the News Council board as a public member.

Bradley J. Hubert practices at the Chicago law firm of McDonnell Bohehn Hubert & Ihlehoff, which was named by IPWorldwide magazine as one of the nation’s top law firms in the area of Intellectual Property Rights.

Thomas J. Sherlock was named as chief executive officer at the law firm of Moss & Barnett.

1978
Michael S. Margulies was elected as a member of The Children’s Theatre Company Board of Governors. Margulies is a partner in the Twin Cities law firm of Lindquist & Vennum and is chair of the firm’s Real Estate Department.

1980
Jordan Lorence argued the student fee case, Southworth v. University of Wisconsin before the United States Supreme Court. He lives in the Washington D.C. area and practices at The Northstar Legal Center.

Carolyn M. McCann joined the law firm of Leonard, Street and Deinard as a shareholder. She is a member of the Real Estate Law Department and her practice includes all areas of commercial real estate, including real estate strategic planning, purchases, sales, leases and financing. McCann previously practiced with the former law firm of Dooherty, Rumble & Butler.

Bruce W. Mooty was elected to the position of managing officer and chair of the board of directors at the law firm Gray Plant Mooty.

Cynthia Transier, president of Knapp, Petersen & Clarke, has been named “CEO of the Year” by the Glendale Chamber of Commerce. Transier has served as the firm’s CEO for seven years, one of the growing number of female managing partners in Los Angeles area law firms.

1981
Charles Rogers was selected as a member of the Briggs and Morgan law firm board of directors for 2000.

Michael W. Unger was named a partner at Rider, Bennett, Egan & Arundel. He practices in the areas of medical malpractice, commercial, business, personal injury and employment litigation.

1982
Jay L. Enzinger heads the Labor and Employment Department of McGuire, Woods, Battle & Boothe law firm in Chicago.

Michael T. Tierney was named a shareholder in the Duluth law firm Clare Eaton Butler. Tierney has practiced for 17 years, primarily as a trial lawyer focused on personal injury and commercial litigation.
CLASS NOTES

1984

Brigid McGrath was appointed as an associate judge with the Cook County Circuit Court in November 1999. McGrath was a partner with the law firm of Bell, Boyd & Lloyd.

1985

Carrie Raymond Eighes, an attorney at the Milwaukee law firm of Reinhart, Boerner, Van Deuren, Norris & Rieselbach, was appointed volunteer part-time executive director of the Mequon-Thiensville Education Foundation, Inc. The Foundation was established in 1998 to provide funding for educational opportunities in addition to those provided for in the annual school budget. Bedore is also a board member of the Task Force on Family Violence, Inc. She is a banking attorney and shareholder in the law firm of Reinhart, Boerner, Van Deuren, Norris & Rieselbach.

Elizabeth K. Bransdorfer was elected vice president of the National Association of Women Lawyers. Bransdorfer is a litigation attorney with the law firm of Reinhart, Boerner, Van Deuren, Norris & Rieselbach.

Nancy Erbe has been appointed as an associate judge with the Milwaukee County Circuit Court in November 1999. Erbe is a banking attorney with the law firm of Reinhart, Boerner, Van Deuren, Norris & Rieselbach.

Deborah Baumgart has been elected a principal in the law firm Fish & Richardson, P.C., with an office in the Minneapolis law firm of Mounds Edelman Borman & Brand.

1987

Alain M. Baudry has been awarded the Hennessy County Bar Association’s 2000 Pro Bono Publico Award, Private Sector. He was recognized for his service to support the efforts of the Children’s Law Center, by representing children in foster care proceedings. The Pro Bono Publico award is bestowed annually to recognize individual HCBA members for their commitment to pro bono service in the areas of legal services for the disadvantaged. Baudry is a litigation partner at the Minneapolis law firm of Mason Edelman Borman & Brand.

1988

Judi Goud Kreisig recently welcomed a third son into the family. Thomas Martin Kreisig, Jula works as an English Literature teacher at Visitation Academy in St. Louis, a private Catholic college preparatory school.

1989

Joel E. Abrahamson was elected as a partner of Kirkland & Ellis in Chicago. His practice focuses on technology licensing.

1991

Gary O’Connor recently published an article in the William Mitchell Law Review entitled, “Rulemaker and Judge: Minnesota Courts and the Supervisory Power.”

1992

Jennifer Reesor Bishop has been elected a principal with the law firm of Gray Plant Mooty. She practices primarily in the area of tax-exempt and nonprofit organizations law with an emphasis on institutions of higher education, health and religion, and is chair of the Firm’s Organizations Practice Team.

Jon K. Hoppensteadt was selected for inclusion in Marquis Who’s Who in America, 54th edition, 2000 and has been nominated for inclusion in the 55th edition, 2001.

1993

Joel E. Abrahamson joined the law firm of Leonard, Street and Deinard as an associate. His practice focuses in the area of corporate commercial law.
litigation and employment law, representing businesses and individuals in federal and state court, administrative proceedings and alternative dispute resolutions.

Kristin Jones Pierre recently joined the Minneapolis law firm of Halleland, Lewis, Nilan, Sipkins & Johnson as an associate attorney. She is a member of the firm’s Labor and Employment Practice.

Kelly A. Putney was elected a shareholder of Bassford, Lockhart, Truesdell & Briggs. Her major areas of practice are professional and general liability defense.

Matt Pynn was installed as president of the Lockport, New York Bar Association in July 1999. Pynn practices law in Lockport and serves as Vice Chairman of the City of Lockport’s Democratic Committee.

1994

Matthew Forsgren joined the law firm of Briggs & Morgan as an associate. He is a member of the Business Litigation section and will continue to practice in the areas of business litigation and criminal defense.

Gregory D. Guvald recently joined the Minneapolis law firm of Halleland Lewis Nilan Sipkins & Johnson. His practice focuses on health care regulatory issues and litigation.

1995

Laura Baumann recently joined the Employment Law Unit of the California Department of Corrections in Sacramento, California. She received an M.S. degree in Agricultural and Resource Economics from the University of California, Davis in 1997.

Robert M. Hogg joined the law firm of Elderkin & Pierce in Cedar Rapids, Iowa. He practices primarily in the area of litigation.

Richard W. Pynn joined the Labor and Employment department at Leonard, Street and Deinard as an associate. His practice focuses on health care regulatory issues and litigation.

1996

Brian L. Johnsked has joined the law firm of Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison in Palo Alto, California.

Jerry D. Pieren joined the law firm of Leonard, Street and Deinard as an associate and became a member of the Real Estate Law Department. His practice focuses on commercial sale and purchase of land, lease negotiations, 1031 exchanges and residential real estate. Person previously practiced with the former law firm of Doherty, Rumble & Butler.

Rachna Sullivan joined the law firm of Rider, Bennett, Egan & Arundel as an associate. She is a new member of the Litigation Department at the Minneapolis law. Before joining Rider Bennett, Ms. Sullivan worked as law clerk for the Honorable James M. Rosenbaum and as assistant district attorney in the King’s County District Attorney’s Office, Brooklyn, New York.

Elizabeth Fried joined Walnut Creek’s Miller, Starr & Regalia as a real estate and litigation associate.

Jennifer Nestle joined the law firm of Schnader, Harrison, Segal & Lewis as an associate and is located in the Philadelphia office. She is a member of the Litigation Department. Nestle previously completed a two-year clerkship with the Honorable Myron H. Bright of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit.
Caroline E. Ostrom joined the law firm of Rider, Bennett, Egan & Arundel as an associate. She is a new member of the firm’s Litigation Department where she focuses her practice in commercial and insurance litigation.

Stephen P. Sarnacki is an associate in the Litigation Department at Jenner & Block in Chicago.

Matthew Thibodeau was featured in an article describing his position as English teacher in small village in Bolivia in the Duluth Budgeter News.

1998

Adam Atnuman is a Solicitor General for the Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe.

Tom Beimers joined the litigation and appellate practice of Covington & Burling in Washington, DC.

Michelle Carter joined the law firm of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher in Los Angeles, California.

Rachel L. Cleary became an Attorney Editor on the Southwest Team of the Cases Publishing Division of West Group in Eagan, Minnesota.

Kristine Denn joined the law firm of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom in Los Angeles, California. She is a member of the Banking and Institutional Investing Department.

Diego H. Eder is an attorney at Ball & York in Ventura, California.

Ingrid L. Hallett joined the law firm of Messeri & Kramer as an associate. She focuses on corporate finance and securities.

David Schwartz moved from the University of Wisconsin and is now professor and director of the Hamline University doctoral program in public administration in the Graduate School of Public Administration and Management. He also is an adjunct professor at the University of Minnesota Law School teaching a class called “Courts and Regulation of the Political Process.” His most recent book, It’s Show Time! Media, Politics and Popular Culture was just published by Peter Lang Publishing, Inc.

David Schultz moved from the University of Wisconsin and is now professor and director of the Hamline University doctoral program in public administration in the Graduate School of Public Administration and Management. He also is an adjunct professor at the University of Minnesota Law School teaching a class called “Courts and Regulation of the Political Process.” His most recent book, It’s Show Time! Media, Politics and Popular Culture was just published by Peter Lang Publishing, Inc.

Laura M. Varricale joined the law firm of Sifton, Seifert, Carlson & Gamble in Appleton, Wisconsin.

Sharen Woodbury is an associate at the Minneapolis law firm of Messeri & Kramer. He practices with the Credit and Collections Department focusing on collection of debt in credit, bankruptcy and deceased accounts. Woodbury previously served as a law clerk to the Honorable John J. Sommerville.

1999

Travis Anderson is an associate at the Minneapolis law firm of Oppenheimer, Wolf & Donnelly. He practices in the area of corporate finance and transactions.

Stacy Lynn Hewittson is serving as a law clerk to the Honorable Myron H. Bright of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit.

Jim Carlson has joined the Venture Capital Group at Piper Marbury Rudnick & Wolfe, where he focuses on new, emerging internet companies.

Mark E. Czuchry has joined Robert W. Baird & Company as a Financial Advisor. He is working in the Edina office.

Mai Dinh, now Mai D. Lauer, is working at Kimsey & Lange in Minneapolis.

Leondra M. Hanson joined the Minneapolis law firm of Rider, Bennett, Egan & Arundel, where she focuses her practice on personal injury litigation, employment law litigation, environmental law and insurance defense.

John Haage is a new member of the Minneapolis law firm of Oppenheimer, Wolf & Donnelly. He focuses his practice on labor and employment, corporate and education law.

Chul Kwak is a first-year associate at the Minneapolis law firm of Oppenheimer, Wolf & Donnelly.

Petra Drescher joined the Minneapolis law firm of Halleland, Lewis, Nilan, Sipkins & Johnson as an associate attorney. Her practice will focus on litigation.

Rob Fowler joined Prtizker & Associates law firm in Minneapolis.

Rachel Groose joined the Department of Justice Executive Office of Immigration Review in Phoenix, Arizona.

Patryk Drescher joined the Minneapolis law firm of Halleland, Lewis, Nilan, Sipkins & Johnson as an associate attorney. Her practice will focus on litigation.

Mai Dinh, now Mai D. Lauer, is working at Kimsey & Lange in Minneapolis.

Ingrid L. Hallett joined the law firm of Messeri & Kramer as an associate. She focuses on corporate finance and securities.

Sharen Woodbury is an associate at the Minneapolis law firm of Messeri & Kramer. He practices with the Credit and Collections Department focusing on collection of debt in credit, bankruptcy and deceased accounts. Woodbury previously served as a law clerk to the Honorable John J. Sommerville.
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Wolff & Donnelly. He practices in corporate finance and transactions.

Sarah Ossowsiitz, now Sarah Ossowsiitz-Klein, has joined the San Francisco City Attorney’s office as part of the team of attorneys representing the San Francisco Municipal Railway (MUNI).

Lisa Netzer joined the law firm of Maslon Edelman Borman & Brand. She recently completed a clerkship with Judge William N. Howard in Hennepin County.

Kai H. Richter joined the law firm of Maslon Edelman Borman & Brand as an associate. His practice focuses on litigation and is a member of the firm’s labor and employment team.

Marlo Webber Turcotte is a new member of the Minneapolis law firm of Rider, Bennett, Egan & Arundel, where she focuses her practice on trusts and estates, estate planning, estate and gift taxation, and probate and trust administration.

Raphael Wallander is a new member of the Minneapolis law firm of Rider, Bennett, Egan & Arundel, where he focuses his practice on bankruptcy, commercial litigation, creditor’s remedies and computer law.

Jeffrey B. Witt is a first-year associate at the Minneapolis law firm of Oppenheimer, Wolff & Donnelly. He practices in the area of employee benefits.

In Memoriam

Fred J. Hughes
Class of 1934

Fred J. Hughes passed away on Tuesday, December 7, 1999. He died of heart failure at the age of 91.

Hughes was born in Chippewa Falls, Wisconsin in 1908. He was a graduate of St. John’s University and received his law degree from the University of Minnesota Law School in 1934. He moved to St. Cloud to practice law and for several years he taught at St. John’s University.

Hughes served on the Minnesota State Board of Tax Appeals from 1943–1955. He helped elect former Minnesota Republican Governors Harold Stassen and Harold LeVander. Governor Elmer L. Andersen appointed Hughes to the University of Minnesota Board of Regents in 1965, where he served for 16 years.

Hughes was a very active and well respected civic leader. He was a charter member and former trustee of St. Peter’s Catholic Church. He was a former member of the board of Trustees of St. John’s University and Cathedral High School and served on the Board of Advisors of the College of St. Benedict. In addition, he served as a member of the Board of Directors of the former St. Cloud National Bank, a founding member of the St. Cloud United Way and served on numerous state, local and church commissions and committees.

He also was a member of the Crosier Apostolates, Knights of Columbus Council 961, Knights of St. Gregory, Starm Benton Bar Association and Minnesota State Bar Association. He was the first recipient of the Father Walter Reger Award at St. John’s University.

Hughes’ wife, Valeria, died in January 1999. He is survived by five children, two of whom are Keith and Kevin, class of 1962, 15 grandchildren and 13 great-grandchildren.
In Memoriam

Class of 1927
Robert J. Karon
Duluth, MN
July 1, 1999

Class of 1934
Roger S. Barrett
Winnetka, IL
January 18, 2000
Julius A. Coller
Shakopee, MN
February 22, 2000
Frederick J. Hughes
St. Cloud, MN
December 7, 1999

Class of 1935
William A. Given
Edina, MN
November 23, 1999
Honorable Glen W. Swenson
Buffalo, MN
February 14, 2000

Class of 1937
Martha M. McConnaughey
Cherry Chase, MD
May 29, 1999

Class of 1943
Sidney Losher
Minneapolis, MN
January 9, 2000

Class of 1947
Chester A. Busvold
Moose Lake, MN
February 20, 2000
Lowell H. Carlson
Menlo Park, CA
January 16, 2000

Class of 1948
William R. Busch, Sr.
St. Paul, MN
September 30, 1999
Carl A. Swenson
Shoreview, MN
December 8, 1999
Robert V. Tarbox
Edina, MN
January 24, 2000

Class of 1949
Honorable Charles C. Johnson
Madison Lake, MN
October 4, 1999
Roder Larson
Minneapolis, MN
November 1, 1999

Class of 1952
William S. Rosen
Edina, MN
November 24, 1999

Class of 1957
Gerald M. Singer
Edina, MN
December 30, 1999

Class of 1961
Maurice J. Nelson
Sonoma, CA
September 4, 1998

Class of 1964
Theo F. Wangensteen
Plymouth, MN
January 4, 2000

Class of 1965
Michael B. Hoffman
Minneapolis, MN
January 5, 2000

Class of 1967
David T. Bennett
Minneapolis, MN
September 11, 1999

Class of 1969
Alan E. Segal
Minneapolis, MN
November 28, 1999

Class of 1970
Robert M. Spector
Minneapolis, MN
November 17, 1999

Class of 1977
Sharlene A. Fairburn
Minneapolis, MN
February 22, 2000

Class of 1984
Carole A. Robertus
Plymouth, MN
December 20, 1999

Class of 1987
Steven C. Gordon
Burnsville, MN
November 28, 1999
Faculty Scholarship 1999–2000

Books

Articles


Faculty Scholarship


Barry C. Feld, Legislative Exclusion of Offenses from Juvenile Court Jurisdiction: A History and Critique, in The Changing Borders of Juvenile Justice Waiver or Adolescents to the Criminal Court (Jeffrey Fagan and Franklin E. Zimmel, eds. 2000).


Robert Kuddel, APEC’s Partial Mutual Adjustment, in Region- alism and Global Political Economy (Mary Ann Teterzak and Kenneth Thomas, eds. 1999) (with Davis B. Bobrows).


Ferdinand P. Schoettle, Can California Reduce the Interest Deduction of Nondomestic Corporate Income Tax Taxpayers Because of Dividends Received?, 4 ABA Preview 262 (1999).


Ferdinand P. Schoettle, May Arizona Levy a Gross Receipts Tax on the Amounts Received by an Indian-owned Contractor from a Contract with the Bureau of Indian Affairs to Build Roads on Indian Reservation?, ABA Preview 266 (1998).

Suzanna Sherry, States Are People Too, 75 Notre Dame L. Rev. (forthcoming 2000).
Suzanna Sherry, Beyond All Criticism?, 83 Minn. L. Rev. 1735 (1999) (with Daniel A. Farber).
David Weissbrodt, Defending Rights Around the Globe: Minnesota’s Contributions to International Human Rights, in For the Record, 150 Years of Law & Lawyers in Minnesota (Wood Foster & Marvin Anderson eds., 1999), at 224 (with Donald Fraser and Barbara Frey).
David Weissbrodt, Concluding Remarks on the Fiftieth Anniversary of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, in Peter Baehr, Innovation and Inspiration: Fifty Years of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (Cees Flinterman & Mignon Senders eds., 1999) at 211.
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